Bid for Funding: Rodboro Buildings – Electric Theatre through road and



Project Name:	Roaboro Bullaings – Ele	ectric Theatre through road and pa	arking
Project Code:	2017	129	
Project Description:	zones, new bin store, sig fees would include traff project supervisor. Lega	gnage, possibly lighting, new pavi	edestrians, provide designated parking ng and roadway surfacing. Consultant to Gyratory, engineers fees, and a es, and costs for implementing
Project / Programme Manager:	Melissa Bromham	Ward:	Holy Trinity Ward
Senior Responsible Officer:	Philip O'Dwyer	Directorate:	Community
Corporate Plan Theme:	Our Environment	Confidential:	No
Expected Start Date:		Exempt VAT Implications:	
Target Completion Date:		Grant access to someone:	

Drivers and Objectives:

To develop and implement an imaginative, easy maintainable, robust and attractive scheme to improve the area around the Rodboro Buildings. This will improve access for pedestrians and vehicles to prevent any future accidents.

Provide solutions to some of the current key issues:

- Unauthorised parking in non-parking areas/parking areas demised to other tenants.
- Safety risk for pedestrians caused by turning vehicles and a bad layout design.
- Use of area as a cut through from gyratory.
- State of disrepair of paving and variety of materials.
- No external storage areas for Wetherspoons that has led to unauthorised storage of bins and barrels.
- Unsightly appearance.

Background Information:

The Council owns a parcel of land in the centre of the Guildford town centre gyratory system. This comprises the following buildings:

- Armour Building recently acquired and let on a 25-year lease from 1998 to Stonegate Pub Company (trading as Popworld).
- Rodboro Buildings Let on a 25-year lease from 1998 to J D Wetherspoon Ltd and another 25 year lease from 1998 to Academy Music Services Limited (ACM Commercial Ltd).
- The Electric Theatre recently let to ACM Commercial Ltd

The external space in this area used to be maintained as part of the Electric Theatre. Following the recent letting of the Electric Theatre, only part of the external area has been demised to ACM Commercial Ltd. The area immediately surrounding the Rodboro Building and Popworld is not demised to any tenant (Wetherspoons have a licence for a small area of external seating). However, access rights over the land have been granted to all of the above tenants (vehicular and pedestrian).

A number of issues have arisen:

- Unauthorised parking in non-parking areas/parking areas demised to other tenants.
- Safety risk for pedestrians caused by turning vehicles and a bad layout design.
- Use of area as a cut through from gyratory.
- State of disrepair of paving and variety of materials.
- No external storage areas for Wetherspoons that has led to unauthorised storage of bins and barrels.
- Unsightly appearance.

The proposed scheme will create a pedestrian friendly environment, outdoor seating, and encourage other activities to take place in a safe environment. The project supports the aims and objectives of the Council to regenerate the town centre and to improve accessibility and pedestrian environment.

It is proposed that the Council appoint a consultant to review the area and provide guidance on the

arrangement and implementation of pedestrian and vehicle segregation and a new car parking scheme.

Once the designs are finalised a project manager and contractor shall need to be appointed to undertake the proposed works.

Project / Programme Objectives:

To develop and implement an imaginative, easily maintainable, robust and attractive scheme to provide pedestrian and vehicle segregation and car parking in the area surrounding the Rodboro Building and Electric Theatre. This will improve access for pedestrians and vehicles to prevent any future accidents.

Implications:

If the project does not proceed and no action is taken to improve the area there will be the following implications:

- the area will be left to deteriorate further making it unsightly;
- tenants shall continue to complain about the area and the lack of external storage;
- continued health and safety risk to pedestrians and vehicles due to unauthorised parking, turning of vehicles, and bad layout; and
- continued health and safety risk to pedestrians from uneven surface, unauthorised external storage.

Legal / Statutor	ŗ
Requirement:	

Yes

Legislative /
Statutory
Implications

Health and Safety Planning

Planning Permission Required: Yes

Building Regulation Required:

Yes

Details of Other Required Consents:

Not known at this stage

Constraints:

Assumptions:

- Tenants will buy-in to scheme
- There will be enough space to provide parking, external seating and bin storage to current standards
- Any necessary planning/building control consents will be obtained

Changes / Effects:

The project will:

- improve appearance of this part of the town centre;
- prevent potential health and safety risks/incidents;
- prevent further complaints from tenants; and
- make the asset more valuable.

Measures for Success:

The delivery of a safe, imaginative, easily maintainable, robust and attractive scheme to provide vehicle and pedestrian segregation, parking and external storage for the Council's commercial tenants.

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

The only other option is to do nothing. This is not recommended as there is a potential health and safety risk to pedestrians.

		Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Consultant	20,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	Project Manager	30,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	Contractor	400,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0

Non Financial Benefits			
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date
Improved facilites for tenants	Improved Customer Satisfaction	The provision of safe ingress and egress to their properties and the provision of external storage	
More attractive street scene	Improved Social Benefits	The provision of an attractive and easily maintainable scheme	

Title Description Insurance claim Risk that a pedestrian is hurt or injured in accident involving a vehicle and claims against the Council as landowner

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy:

2 - Low

The proposed scheme will help to achieve the following priority:

Providing for high quality commercial land and buildings

Our Borough:

8 - Medium to High

The proposed scheme will help to achieve the following priorities:

- Ensuring an attractive, competitive, multi-faceted and vibrant town
- Enhancing our shopping and leisure offer
- Improving accessibility and the pedestrian environment

It will also help the Council tin its aim to:

- Develop a programme of town centre pedestrianisation and road changes and improve the bus, cycling and walking networks
- Delivered infrastructure changes to support local communities

Our Infrastructure:	2 - Low
imrastructure.	Help to make the gyratory more attractive and prevent congestion due to unauthorised use of the area as a cut through.
Our Environment:	6 - Medium
	The proposed scheme will help to achieve the following priorities: • Being a clean and attractive borough • Protecting and improving our environment • Improving walking and cycling routes
Our Society:	0 - None
Your Council:	2 - Low
	The proposed scheme will help to achieve the following priority: Maximising the value derived from our property portfolio
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 20
Other Category	Themes
Asset	10 - Very High
Management:	Investment into a Council asset
Business Case:	2 - Low
	Will make property more attractive and, as such, more lettable/valuable
Health and Safety	8 - Medium to High
/ Statutory Requirement:	Risk to pedestrian and vehicle safety
Service Delivery:	0 - None
Third Party Funding:	0 - None
Other Themes Tota	al: 20
Themes Total:	40

Bid for Funding: Castle Grounds Cottage - Refurbishment



Project Name:	Castle Grounds Cottage - Refurbishment		
Project Code:	2017	130	
Project Description:	Refurbish to lettable st	andard ready for temporary oc	ccupation as a private residential let
Project / Programme Manager:	Asset Maintenance Manager	Ward:	Holy Trinity Ward
Senior Responsible Officer:	Marieke van der Reijden	Directorate:	Community
Corporate Plan Theme:	Your Council	Confidential:	No
Expected Start Date:	01/08/2018	Exempt VAT Implications:	No
Target Completion Date:	01/12/2018	Grant access to someone:	

Drivers and Objectives:

The main driver is to utilise Castle Grounds Cottage, a currently vacant residential property, on a temporary basis for private residential let with the objective of deriving a short to medium term income, prior to a decision on the long term future use of the asset being made.

Background Information:

The property was used as staff accommodation by Parks and Leisure Services. Following the departure of its last tenant, circa two years ago, the property has remained vacant.

The property is in a poor state of condition and will require full refurbishment, whatever use, going forward.

The Museum and Castle Grounds project is currently at feasibility stage. At the time of writing, the Cottage is identified within the project plans for possible use as part of the future Museum attraction. However, these proposals require major considerations, which will take time to resolve. Whilst ideally the Council would wait for formal confirmation through Executive that this should be the future use of the Cottage, the present situation of holding a vacant property means a recommendation whether to proceed with the works is required sooner.

Project /
Programme
Objectives:

Project: Refurbishment of Castle Grounds Cottage for private residential let.

Programme: 01/08/2018 - 01/12/2018.

Objectives: To derive an income from the property prior to a decision on the long term future use being

made.

Implications:

Financial: Cost estimate for the project is £60,000 to refurbish in order to realise a return.

Timing: A decision on the short term use of the building made ahead of a formal Executive decision on the Museum and Castle Grounds project.

Future: The long term plan for the property is unestablished; thus, full refurbishment works for private residential let may not lend themselves as relevant to the future use of the asset.

Resource: The Building Surveying team is currently under resourced and thus delivering this project inhouse may prove unfeasible.

Return on investment: For the Council to realise a return on its investment of £60,000 the property would need to be let for an estimated period of 2.5 years. A change of use before this could see a loss on initial capital expenditure.

Private residential let: The location of the property, within the Castle Grounds, does afford issues with private residential let. The gates to the grounds are locked at certain times; however, the tenant of the property would require unfettered access.

Legal / Statutory Requirement:

No

Legislative / Statutory Implications: Building Regulations: Under the Building Act 1984 Building control consent is likely to be required. Conservation Area: Property falls within the Guildford Town Conservation Area. Consequently, considerations required for changing windows/rear patio doors and the external appearance of the property.

Health and Safety: Project will fall within the scope of the CDM Regulations 2015, although will not be notifiable to the HSE.

Ecological: Due to the location of the building it is likely to house bat roosts. Consequently, certain works may be restricted and/or require a licence from Natural England.

Asbestos: The Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 will need to be followed. This will require a Refurbishment and Demolition (R&D) survey pre the works. Due to the age of the property Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) may be found once the building is opened-up. Where ACMs are found, advice from the Council's appointed asbestos consultant will be sought.

Planning Permission Required: No

Building Regulation Required: Yes

Details of Other Required Consents: Conservation Area Consent: This may be required in relation to changing the windows/external appearance of the building. Advice will be sought from the Council's planning department pre the works.

Ecological: A licence from Natural England may be required for certain works where bat roosts are present.

Constraints:

Resource: The Building Surveying team is currently under-resourced and thus it is uncertain whether there will be capacity in-house to undertake this capital project.

Ecological: Depending on the results of the ecological survey certain works may be constrained or subject to licence from Natural England.

Conservation area: The property falls within the Guildford Town Centre conservation area; thus, there will be restrictions on changing the external appearance of the building - the main item of which is windows and rear patio doors.

Assumptions:

Refurbishment costs are based on rates obtained from pricing books and previous tender documentation.

Budget estimate assumes property is structurally sound and required works are general refurbishment and aesthetics.

Budget estimate assumes no substantial removal of asbestos is required pre the refurbishment works.

Budget estimate assumes that the existing services are largely sound, and only allows for minor works.

Changes / Effects:

To change the building from a very poor state of repair to a private residential lettable standard, with the effect of increasing the potential revenue generation from the Council's property portfolio.

Measures for Success:

Project delivered on time, on budget, and to the correct standard/quality.

Property privately let within a short timeframe post refurbishment for a competitive rental income

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Short term options:

- 1) Do nothing: This option was rejected on the grounds that the Council can be criticised for owning vacant properties that have revenue generating potential
- Keep the property vacant until Cottage is redeveloped as part of the Museum Project; option rejected because the timeframe is unknown and the property would be likely to deteriorate in the meantime
- 3) Refurbish for short term residential let: since the long term use of the property is unestablished, this is likely to be an interim measure

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenu Code		Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Professional fees	5,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	Contractor costs	48,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	Contingency	5,000	N/A	N/A	
	,		N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	Preliminaries	2,000	N/A	N/A	
	T Temminaries				
Costs To	otals		N/A	N/A	0
Year 2018/19	Capital Total (£) Revenue £60,000	e Total (£) £0			
Year 2018/19 Financia Year	Capital Total (£) Revenue £60,000 I Benefits Description	£0 Capital Value (£)		ie Value (£)	C
Year 2018/19 Financia	Capital Total (£) Revenue £60,000	£0			
Year 2018/19 Financia Year	E60,000 Benefits Description Value added to asset through refurbishment works / Rental	£0 Capital Value (£)		ie Value (£)	

Financial	Benefits Totals	
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)
2019/20	50,000	24,000
2020/21	0	24,000
2021/22	0	24,000

Non Financial Benef	its		
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date
Providing residential accomodation	Improved Social Benefits	Refurbishing this property will provide additional residential accomodation within the borough. The measure of success will be letting the property.	01/12/18
Void properties	Improved Customer Satisfaction	The Council is open to criticism where it fails to generate an income from its property portfolio; particularly where assets remain void for extended periods of time. The measure of success will be generating an income from letting the property.	01/03/19

Planned Preventative Maintenance	Reduced Asset Costs	Whilst there will be an initial capital expenditure in year 1 to refurbish this property for residential let; future planned maintenance costs for the asset will be reduced. This not only affects future maintenance costs, but also staff time. The measure of success will be comparing previous years planned maintenance expenditure vs future planned maintenance expenditure.	01/12/18
Vandalism	Improved Service Provision	While the building remains vacant there is an increased risk of vandalism to the property as well as the immediate surrounding areas.	01/12/18

Risks Title Description Lettability and revenue generation There is the risk that post refurbishment the property fails to let for the desired annual amount and/or within a reasonable timeframe. As with all construction projects there is the risk of costs escalating due to Budget unforeseen events. Long term use of the asset If the longer term use of the asset is realised early and this does not accord with the residential refurbishment works there is a risk that the Council will not realise a return on its initial capital investment. As with all construction project, by there very nature there are Health and Safety Health and Safety risks involved when working onsite.

Fundamental Themes

6 - Medium **Our Economy:**

> Improved building and facility contributes to the Council's aim for high quality land and buildings; as well as providing additional residential accommodation within the Borough.

Our Borough: 6 - Medium

None.

Improvements to the building will contribute an element towards an attractive town, especially as the building sits within the award winning Castle Grounds.

Our Infrastructure: 0 - None

Our Environment: 8 - Medium to High

> Contributes towards aim for protecting and improving our environment by not remaining vacant and exposed to possible vandalism.

Our Society: 4 - Low to Medium

> Project makes some improvement to the lives of residents and visitors who pass through the Castle Grounds by showing that the Council property is well kept and maintained.

Your Council: 6 - Medium

> Shows commitment to keeping good quality assets and seeking to ensure the best value is obtained out of them, even as a short term solution. Demonstrates a proactive Council.

Fundamental Themes Total: 30

Other Category Themes

Management:

8 - Medium to High

Keep the building in good repair and making good use of the asset by letting in the short term.

Business Case:	4 - Low to Medium
	This offers a short term solution pending the outcome for the property, which is currently under consideration as part of the Museum and Castle Grounds project.
Health and Safety / Statutory	8 - Medium to High
Requirement:	There is a security risk whilst the property remains vacant; the property also risks falling in to disrepair and requiring greater expenditure further down the line.
Service Delivery:	4 - Low to Medium
	Parks and Leisure Services would benefit from the income generated, albeit the full benefit is not realisable for circa 2.5 years post initial capital investment. Requirement on Asset Development to keep the building in good repair and seek to ensure an income is achieved from property. Once refurbishment works are complete the demand on the Building Surveying team for future maintenance will be reduced.
Third Party Funding:	0 - None
runding.	None
Other Themes Tota	al: 24
Themes Total:	54

Bid for Funding: Guildford Bike Share



Project Name:	Guildford Bike S	hare	
Project Code:	2017	139	
Project Description:	following a feas stations situate are expected for	ike share/hire scheme for the town, exsibility study, though approximately 15 d in suitable and convenient locations or the initial set up of the scheme. It is cycles - dependent on cost and the out	0 bikes with between 10 and 15 around the town centre and beyon s likely to include a mix of standard
Project / Programme Manager:	Rob Curtis	Ward:	
Senior Responsible Officer:	Zac Elwood	Directorate:	Development
Corporate Plan Theme:	Our Environmen	t Confidential:	No
Expected Start Date:	01/08/2017	Exempt VAT Implications:	
Target Completion Date:	31/03/2019	Grant access to someone:	

Drivers and Objectives:

Increase cycling and reduce private car use in/around the town centre. Relieving congestion, improved public health and air quality benefits.

Corporate Plan 2015-2020

Our Borough

Improved accessibility

Our Economy

Improving local community facilities

Our Infrastructure

Sustainable transport - urban and rural

Our Environment

Improved resilience through sustainability

Our Society

Improving public health and wellbeing (through supporting RSCH)

The Corporate Plan 2015-2020 also includes, under the 'Our Borough' theme, a specific action to "Explore and, subject to feasibility, introduce an electric bike scheme."

Background Information:

A public cycle hire scheme is proposed which will enable users to cycle part/all of their journeys, linking key destinations and transport hubs. The intention is that GBC would fund the upfront capital to set up the scheme, but ongoing revenue costs for running the scheme would be met through a combination of sponsorship and hire receipts. A suitable partner organisation would need to be identified and contracts entered into. Interest in bringing such a scheme to Guildford has been expressed by three companies that officers have approached to-date (Nextbike, Hourbike and ITS), but there are a number of other established operators offering a similar product.

Report went to the Borough, Economy & Infrastructure EAB on 13 September 2017 and was generally well received by members. It was agreed that a feasibility study should be undertaken and the EAB provided a number of questions to be asked as part of this study - including whether it should be 'traditional' or 'free floating' bikes. The idea of including a percentage of electric bicycles within the scheme was also endorsed.

Project / Programme Objectives:

- Increase cycling patronage and encourage modal shift
- Reduce congestion issues
- Enable users more options and make cycling available to more people
- Improvements to public health
- Greening the town

Implications:

Possible ongoing revenue costs, though these are expected to be met by the sponsorship of the scheme - scheme operator to manage all of these aspects so effectively any revenue cost/gain is expected to be minimal. (feasibility and tender process will address this)

Cost of commissioning detailed feasibility study - revenue funding requested.

Public safety implications prior to delivery of cycle network improvements.

Legal / Statutory Requirement:

No

Legislative / Statutory Implications:

Public liability issues to be addressed

Planning Permission Required:

Yes

Building Regulation Required:

No

Details of Other Required

- Need to ensure agreement with the local highway authority
- Approval from various landowners to site hubs (e.g. University, Hospital, Network Rail, SCC)

Constraints:

Consents:

- Current road layout is not "cycle friendly" in some locations so care is required in directing cyclists between docking sites
- Docking site locations to be agreed
- If electric bikes are to be used, docks will require mains power connection could add costs or limit locations available
- Capital costs may limit percentage of electric bicycles

Assumptions:

- Capital monies for start-up are made available
- Assume that suitable sponsor can be found for ongoing revenue/running costs
- There is demand for such a scheme in the town this will be explored in feasibility study
- Approval to site hubs at various locations from landowners

Changes / Effects:

- Availability of bikes for all in the town
- Improved public health
- Modal shift from the private car
- Introduction of legitimate and real alternative for shorter journeys
- May start to engender culture change amongst some commuters
- Improves leisure and recreation offer within the town

Measures for Success:

To be determined at feasibility could include:

- usage levels of the bikes
- reduction in private car use/congestion
- public health statistics
- scheme is sustainable and self-funding post initial set-up costs
- whether scheme is extended beyond initial period (likely to be 5 years)

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

'Do nothing' - This has been rejected because it would not address perceived latent demand for a bike scheme and would not lead to the expected improvements identified. It would also mean that a corporate action in the adopted Corporate Plan would not be brought forward within the plan period.

'Fully electric bikes scheme' - this would meet the Corporate Plan ambition/action, but will lead to additional capital set-up costs for the Council. This option will be explored through the feasibility study.

'Fully manual bikes scheme" - This option will be explored through the feasibility study, but is anticipated to be rejected as it does not meet the Corporate Plan action identified, which seeks an electric bike scheme.

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (f)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Feasibility and Tendering process	0	F5610	Sustainable Movement	
			D4520	Consultants (Advice)	75,000
2018/19	Implementation of project	530,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
Year 2018/19	Capital Total (£) Revenue	£75,000			
Financia	I Benefits				
Year		Capital Value (£)	Revenue	Value (£)	
2018/19	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	3	30,000	
2019/20	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	3	31,000	
2020/21	Anticinated Sponsorship	0		32 000	

Financial	Benefits		
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	30,000
2019/20	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	31,000
2020/21	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	32,000
2021/22	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	33,000
2022/23	Anticipated Sponsorship	0	34,000

Financial Benefits Totals				
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)		
2018/19	0	30,000		
2019/20	0	31,000		
2020/21	0	32,000		
2021/22	0	33,000		
2022/23	0	34,000		

Non Financial Benefits			
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date
New transport option available to those wishing to travel to/around the town centre	Improved Service Provision	Usage statistics will show how people are travelling	31/03/19
New transport option available to those wishing to travel to/around the town centre	Improved Customer Satisfaction	Feedback regarding the project will be collected by the provider	31/03/19
New transport option available to those wishing to travel to/around the town centre	Improved Social Benefits	Usage statistics will show the demographic of users	31/03/19
Modal shift and cutting air pollution	Reduced Carbon	Air Quality measurements	31/03/23

Risks	
Title	Description
Road Safety	Some roads around the Town are not well suited for cyclists, need to mitigate this by enabling cycling in some areas and providing information and guidance for cyclists. Providers would also ensure that each user signs a disclaimer regarding their cycling behaviour and responsibilities.
Sponsorship	It is currently assumed that sponsorship will cover the operational costs. Council will need to ensure that the sponsor is appropriate. If sufficient sponsorship is not found there may be a revenue requirement, or the scheme may not be viable
costs	Currently 3 suppliers have provided indicative costs, this will not be confirmed until feasibility and once the full requirements of the scheme are identified. There may be some additional costs such as electrical installation and minor road improvements which could be required as the project develops.
Opportunity - Santander scheme	The university are currently in a competition to win a bike share scheme of 50 bikes. If successful there is an opportunity for GBC to expand that project to cover the town - this might then be part funded by the Santander project and/or revenue costs could be shared with the university/sponsors.

Fundamental TI	nemes
Our Economy:	2 - Low
Our Borough:	6 - Medium
Our Infrastructure:	6 - Medium
Our Environment:	6 - Medium
Our Society:	6 - Medium
Your Council:	4 - Low to Medium
Fundamental Them	nes Total: 30
Other Category	Themes
Asset Management:	2 - Low
Business Case:	6 - Medium
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	0 - None
Service Delivery:	4 - Low to Medium

Third Party Funding:	4 - Low to	Medium	
Other Themes Tota	ıl:	16	
Themes Total:		46	

Bid for Funding: ICT Transformation



Project Name:		ICT Transf	formation		
Project Code:		2017		140	
Project Description	on:	workforce Service bid * Works or * Iken £26. * PAD £40 * Housing * Open Re * Bartec ar	flexibility and to ds: rder management ,000	reduce risk whilst achieving sec nt system £50,000 00 00	t smarter ways of working including curity acceditation compliance.
Project / Program	nme Manager:	Adrian Hu	dson	Ward:	
Senior Responsil	ble Officer:	Steve whit	te	Directorate:	Resources
Corporate Plan T	heme:	Your Cour	ncil	Confidential:	
Expected Start D	ate:	01/10/201	7	Exempt VAT Implications:	
Target Completion	on Date:	31/03/2019	9	Grant access to someone:	
Information: Project / Programme Objectives: Implications:				epared to support this bid.	
Legal / Statutory Requirement: Legislative / Statutory Implications:	will manage ri	sk and ensu	ire our infrastruc		nply with the GDPR. This programme int statutory obligations under our Code systems from the ICT estate.
Planning Permission Required:	No				
Building Regulation Required:	No				
Details of Other	N/A				
Required Consents:					
Constraints:	A fully costed b	usiness cas	se has been prep	pared to support this bid.	
Assumptions:	A fully costed b	usiness cas	e has been prep	pared to support this bid.	

Changes / Effects:	A fully costed business case has been prepared to support this bid.
Measures for Success:	A fully costed business case has been prepared to support this bid.
Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:	A fully costed business case has been prepared to support this bid.

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	ICT Transformation	1,250,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
Costs To	otals				
Costs To		ue Total (£)			

Financial			
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	ICT Transformation	1,250,000	0
2018/19	Unified Comms	160,000	0
2018/19	ICT Renewals	716,000	0
2019/20	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2020/21	ICT renreals	500,000	0
2021/22	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2022/23	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2023/24	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2024/25	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2025/26	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2026/27	ICT renewals	500,000	0
2027/28	ICT Renewals	500,000	0

Financial I	Benefits Totals	
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)
2018/19	2,126,000	0

2019/20	500,000	0
2020/21	500,000	0
2021/22	500,000	0
2022/23	500,000	0
2023/24	500,000	0
2024/25	500,000	0
2025/26	500,000	0
2026/27	500,000	0
2027/28	500,000	0

Fundamental Th	nemes
Our Economy:	6 - Medium
Our Borough:	6 - Medium
Our Infrastructure:	6 - Medium
Our Environment:	6 - Medium
Our Society:	6 - Medium
Your Council:	10 - Very High
Fundamental Them	nes Total: 40
Other Category	Themes
Asset Management:	
Business Case:	
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	
Service Delivery:	
Third Party Funding:	

Other Themes Total:

Themes Total:

40

Bid for Funding: 48 Quarry St, Museum - Works to remedy structural



Project Name:	48 Quarry St, Museum -	Works to remedy structural defec	ets
Project Code:	2017	145	
Project Description:	Undertake works to addr	ess structural defects and put bui	lding into repair.
Project / Programme Manager:	Asset Maintenance Manager	Ward:	Holy Trinity Ward
Senior Responsible Officer:	Marieke van der Reijden	Directorate:	Community
Corporate Plan Theme:	Your Council	Confidential:	No
Expected Start Date:	01/04/2018	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes
Target Completion Date:	31/03/2020	Grant access to someone:	

Drivers and Objectives:

To undertake structural strengthening works to put the building into repair and allow occupation of the space to suit the buildings present operational or future uses

Background Information:

48 Quarry Street is a Grade II listed three-storey building orginally constructed as a single house. It is used as exhibition space and offices on ground and second floor level with the first floor designated as domestic having previously been used as a caretaker's flat. This is currently unoccupied and is being used for storage.

There have been problems with the structure of the building for a number of years. In particular, the timber floors exhibit signs of significant deflection and feeling of movement under load. When previously looked at work to remedy the situation was deferred pending the outcome of the Museum Heritage Lottery Fund bid. Now falling within the Museum and Castle Grounds project, there is a risk that works will be deferred again whilst we wait for a definitive way forward for this project.

A re-inspection of the present structural condition was undertaken by external structural engineers in July 2017. Their summary findings were that whilst some minor areas of work have been undertaken, the majority of the work has not been carried out so conditions remain as previously reported. Forty defects, ten of which were classified as immediate, require actioning within the next year. The majority of these relate to structure and floor deflection. Twenty actions were classifed as medium, seven of which will worsen and accelerate the building defects if not addressed.

From the survey report, the main house whilst built as a residence has been extensively remodelled for offices and exhibition storage raising the question whether the joists in the building are sufficient for this use. Also a bulge of the external walls to the front elevation is due to the movement due to insufficient ties between the joists and external solid walls and the condition of the joist end in the pocket and its assumed weakness and effect it may have on the subsequent bulging.

The Museum and Castle Grounds project is currently at feasibility stage. The future use for 48 Quarry St at the time of writing is identified within the project plans for use as office accommodation for Museum staff and the Museum's supporting organisations such as the Surrey Archaeological Society. Whilst ideally the Council would wait for formal confirmation through Executive that this should be the future use of 48 Quarry Street, the pressing nature of the repairs means a recommendation to proceed with the works is required sooner.

Strengthening the structure and floors to accommodate the use of the building for offices was considered in 2015 and desk-top cost estimate obtained. The cost estimate also included strengthening works assuming the first floor remained as residential accommodation. To retain residential use also meant considering costs to upgrade the facilities within the flat as well as installing fire and sound proofing insulation.

Project / Programme Objectives:

To undertake the following elements of work:

- Commission further investigation and instrusive structural inspections
- Commission design solutions to remedy deflection
- Undertake strengthening or improvement works to remedy floor deflection and floor loading capacity
- Undertake works to address lateral movement and bulging to the west (front) elevation wall at high level
- Undertake works to address a high degree of cracking to the east (rear) masonry
- Likely requirement to put right previous works that do not meet the requirements of Historic England
- Works to the interior of the building ready for occupation

Implications:

If action not taken, the building could become unsafe and subject to severe failure leading to greater remedial costs in the future.

A decision on the future use of the building made ahead of a formal Executive decision on the Museum and Castle Grounds project

Legal / Statutory Requirement:

Yes

Legislative / Statutory Implications:

Health and safety: Duty to provide a safe working environment during the period of the works; project will fall within the scope of the CDM Regulations 2015

Building Regulations: Building control consent is likely to be required; Building Act 1984

Planning: A change of use will require planning consent

Conservation Area: Considerations required for changing windows and the external appearance Listed Building: Consent for works and changes to the building will require consent from Historic England Ecological: Due to the location of the building may house bat roosts. Consequently, certain works may be

restricted and/or require a licence from Natural England

Asbestos: The Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 will need to be followed

Planning Permission Required: Yes

Building Regulation Required: Yes

Details of Other Required Consents: Listed building consent Possible bat licence

Pre-application advice has been obtained from the planning team which stated the following on 8 November 2017:-

Site description / constraints:

The site is occupied by a three storey Grade II Listed building. It is located within the Guildford Urban Area, the Town Centre Conservation Area, an Area of High Archeaological Potential and an Article 4 direction is in place.

Planning considerations:

Loss of a residential unit

Impact of works on the heritage asset

Loss of a residential unit.

Saved policy H5 of the Guildford Borough Local Plan 2003 as saved by CLG Direction dated 24 September 2007) seeks to retain existing housing stock. I note from your pre application submission you have included a letter from the Council dated 7 September 2007 which addresses this same issue. I can continue to advise that we would normally resist the loss of a residential unit. However, my understanding is that the flat is currently staff/caretaker accommodation and is unlikely to be privately let, due to the access arrangements into the flat and its relationship with the museum making it undesirable to be occupied independently of the Museum. It is therefore less likely to contribute to the wider housing need. The conversion of the flat into office accommodation would provide for museum staff and the Museum's supporting organisations including the Surrey Archaeological Society associated with the museum. It is these factors that may be sufficient to constitute very special circumstances to outweigh the identified harm. I would recommend that any forthcoming application includes a reasoned argument setting out the benefits of the proposed change of use.

Impact on the heritage asset

The building is Grade II listed any works may therefore require listed building consent. The planning team provide the following comments:

support further structural investigations proposed

□ inform Council of further investigation findings and proposed repairs, as some or all of the works may require listed building consent.

There are no suggested amendments

Constraints:

Physical: access to the whole building during the early stage of intrusive survey and once works are underway will be an issue for staff who operate from the building. During the works phase, the building would need to be vacated and alternative office and storage accommodation will need to be found and made available Physical: much of the site has electrical and plumbing installations that do not meet the statutory requirements associated with the ownership of a listed building and these will in all likelihood need to be addressed and made compliant as part of the project in order to obtain listed building consent

Legislative: works will need to be acceptable to the Council's Conservation Team and Historic England where listed building consent is required

Legislative: bats are present and therefore a bat licence may be required

Corporate: decision required on the future use of the building

Planning: question of whether planning consent would be required and granted for loss of residential if the

domestic accommodation is converted to office use. Pre-application advice obtained on 8 November 2017 suggests that the special circumstances involved may be sufficient to outweigh the loss of residential accommodation.

Assumptions:

Ability to relocate staff to alternative accommodation during the works

A design and specification that is acceptable to the Council's Conservation team and Historic England and that listed building consent, building regulations and planning consent are granted

Any necessary planning consent for change of use is granted

Budget estimate assumes no substantial removal of asbestos is required pre the works taking place

Changes / Effects:

Halt any further deterioration of the structure and fabric of the building and ensure a safe working environment for staff and museum visitors

Measures for Success:

Movement of the building is halted and the workplace secured for future staff and visitors to the Museum

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Two options are possible depending on the future use of the building:

1) Potential upgrading of first floor flat for continued residential use as a separate tenancy - rejected on basis that it is more expensive and doesn't meet the preferred use identifiedin the Museum and Castle Grounds project 2) Potential for upgrading first floor for office use - preferred option, subject to planning

Option 1 – Upgrade first floor flat for continued residential use: £144,000 exl VAT (as at June 2015) Scope of work requires:

- Upgrading work remedial repairs to address outstanding defects
- Strengthen existing under-capacity floors
- Strengthen first floor to Category A Domestic Loading
- Install Reduc floor system for sound/impact attenuation together with fire resistant quilt system
- Replace electrical wiring and pipework
- Replace kitchen
- Take out and refit bathroom
- Redecoration

Option 2 – Upgrade first floor flat to office use: £124,000 exl VAT (as at June 2015) Scope of work required:

- Upgrading work remedial repairs to address outstanding defects
- Strengthen Floor to Category B Light Office Loading
- Replace electrical wiring and pipework within floor
- Remove kitchen
- Take out and refit bathroom as WC's
- Redecoration

ear (Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Investigations and consultant reports	20,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	Planning consent and tendering	10,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Initial remedial works and project management	50,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Remaining remedial works and project management	150,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Contingency funds for alternative accommodation	20,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0

Non Financial Bene	efits		
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date
Service benefit	Improved Service Provision	Difficult to measure but the service would benefit from additional use of office space to accommodate staff and in turn this should lead to staff having more time to dedicate to improving the visitor attraction	31/03/20
Staff benefit	Improved Staff Satisfaction	Staff satisfaction survey would hope to show comment on an improved working environment	31/03/20
Cost benefit	Reduced Asset Costs	Improvements will extend the life of the building and may lead to reduced annual costs to operate the building through more efficient use of the space	31/03/20
Legal compliance	Improved Service Provision	Compliance with legislation to provide a safe working environment and also in terms of showing we maintain buildings in line with legislation around historic building maintenance	31/03/20

Works will require high level of attention to safety on site, for staff, visitors and the contractor. To mitigate this the Council will employ a principle designer and

Description

Risks

Title

Health and Safety

	ensure the works are organised in accordance with CDM Regulations
Planning	Planning permission required for change of use for the residential part, to office use. Pre-application advice received on 8 November 2017 suggests that the special circumstances involved may be sufficient to outweigh the loss of residential accommodation.
Lack of decision	Forming part of the Museum and Castle Grounds project exposes the risk that Executive don't want to commit to the repairs now leading to further delay and lack of direction. This capital bid hopefully will help avoid this.
Timing	Without a clear decision on whether to go ahead or not, programming works with plenty of advance notification for the service will be problematic. This capital bid hopefully will help avoid this.
Costs	Once further investigations have taken place, we may find the costs are greater than projected. An allowance is made within year 1 to employ external consultants to undertake early and intrusive investigations.
Bats	If bats are identified prior to works starting, the project could suffer programming issues to ensure work takes place at scheduled times in the year. A bat survey will be instructed at the first opportunity.
Security	The site will require additional security during the works to protect the asset and the Museum collection. Costs would be obtained and the specification agreed with Heritage Services
Alternative accommodation	Office and storage accommodation will need to be found for staff during the works phase; working with Heritage Services, options might include space at Millmead, alternative Council owned property or leasing space for a short period
Service disruption	Inevitably this will be the case both at early stage of further investigations and when the works take place; the project manager will need to work closely with Heritage Services to alleviate this as much as is possible
Project management	Dedicated resources to running a complex project of this type, will require a dedicated project manager. This is likely to mean appointing an external surveyor in order to ensure the project can keep going and stay on track.
Loss of visitors	The disruption on site could result in a decrease in the number of visitors to the Museum. Again a reason for appointing an external surveyor to project manage the works and be the liaison with Heritage Services on a daily basis
Asbestos	Asbestos: The Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 will need to be followed. This will require and Refurbishment and Demolition (R&D) survey pre the works. Due to the age of the property Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) may be found once the building is opened up. Where ACMs are found, advice from the Council's appointed asbestos consultant will be sought

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy:	8 - Medium to High
	Improved building and facility contributes to the Council's aim for high quality land and buildings plus 48 Quarry Street will provide improvement to local community facilities
Our Borough:	8 - Medium to High
	Improvements to 48 Quarry Street will contribute to promoting urban design
Our	0 - None
Infrastructure:	None

Our Environment: 4 - Low to Medium

Works will help protecting and improving our urban environment and historic building

Our Society:	10 - Very High
	The works will ensure the Museum staff have full use of the building from which to operate an improved Museum Service. It will contribute to public wellbeing for visitors by the building being well kept and attractive
Your Council:	8 - Medium to High
	Shows commitment to keeping good quality assets for our customers and staff
Fundamental Them	nes Total: 38
Other Category	Themes
Asset	10 - Very High
Management:	Essential maintenance
Business Case:	10 - Very High
	If no action undertaken, the situation will worsen; the works will protect the building and secure it for the future of the Museum service
Health and Safety	10 - Very High
/ Statutory Requirement:	The works are critical to ensure the safe use of the building
Service Delivery:	8 - Medium to High
	The works will also enable the full use of the building for the Museum staff and in turn contribute to the development of the Museum service Requirement for Asset Development to repair and maintain Council owned operational buildings
Third Party	0 - None
Funding:	None
Other Themes Tota	al: 38
Themes Total:	76

Bid for Funding: Guildford West (Park Barn) Station



Project Name:	Guildford West (Park Ba	rn) Station	
Project Code:	2017	151	
Project Description:	west of Guildford main economically active and Hospital and Surrey Uttown. Both the Universimpacted on their ability GRIP2 (Feasibility) has healthy Benefits to Cosproject, which contribute	line station. This will provide eas of Guildford, embracing be niversity. It will also help to registy and Hospital have indicate y to both recruit and retain states been progressed over the pasts Ratio (BCR) indicating it is	enerate the Park Barn area of the d that poor accessibility has ff. st 12 months and delivers a very certainly worth progressing this Plan Themes and is now included
			vill be obtained shortly - the project on Selection) & 4 (Single Option
Project / Programme Manager:	Zac Ellwood	Ward:	Onslow Ward
Senior Responsible Officer:	Tracey Coleman	Directorate:	Development
Corporate Plan Theme:	Our Infrastructure	Confidential:	No
Expected Start Date:	25/04/2016	Exempt VAT Implications:	

Drivers and Objectives:

Target Completion Date:

• Local road congestion limiting future growth agendas of the local institutions and research park

Grant access to someone:

- Reduce or mitigate against existing local parking issues
- Poor or unreliable bus links between the town centre and the University/Hospital/Research Park
- Improved transport linkages needed to a deprived area of the town
- Mitigation for future housing growth envisaged at strategic housing site at Blackwell Farm
- Supporting the University, RSCH and other local businesses in attracting and/or retaining staff evidence has shown that a large number of hospital staff travel in from the west (e.g. Aldershot, Farnham) and there is latent demand for a new stop at Park Barn.
- MOU between GBC, RSCH, UoS & SCC

01/04/2024

Corporate Plan 2015-2020

Our Borough

Improved accessibility

Our Economy

Economic leadership to deliver sustainable and proportionate growth Building strong links and levering synergies between public, private and third sectors Improving local community facilities

Our Infrastructure

Sustainable transport - urban and rural Improved rail connectivity with new rail halts

Our Environment

Improved resilience through sustainability

<u>Our Society</u>

Improving public health and wellbeing (through supporting RSCH)

Proposed Submission Local Plan (Reg.19 consultation June 2017)

Policy A59: New rail station at Guildford West (Park Barn)

Background Information:

The work on GRIP Stage 2 involved the following phases and is nearing completion:

GRIP Stage 2 - Structure of Study

- Phase 1 Strategic Outline Business Case (SOBC) which is fully compliant with WebTAG
- Phase 2 Network Rail compliant GRIP2 study, and update to SOBC
- Phase 3 Outline Business Case (OBC) which is fully compliant with WebTAG

Phase 1 was completed in October 2016. Phase 2 has only just been completed, with Network Rail now satisfied on the engineering side of the project. Phase 3: The OBC is still in draft format and requires some final finessing to address comments made by Network Rail, including a thorough analysis on the forecast economics and assumed passenger numbers from Network Rail.

Project Finance

For GRIP stages 3 (Option Selection) & 4 (Single Option Development).

It is requested that a revenue allowance of £100k for 2018/19 should be made for the GRIP 3 (Option Selection) study (including £25k for Network Rail's review of this study). GRIP 3 is revenue funded and it is assumed GRIP 4 (Single Option Development) stage onwards could be capitalised and a capital bid of £200k is required for this stage. However, it is recommended the two stages are undertaken consecutively during 2018/19. Network Rail are unlikely to be in a position to review GRIP 4 until 2019/20 and the figures in Part 3 of this bid reflect that

Project / Programme Objectives: It is anticipated the GRIP 3 & 4 study will take around 10-12 months to complete. Time allowances to produce the GRIP 3 & 4 brief (two months) and procurement (if via the HCA then also two months) need also to be made.

Implications:

- Financial cost of delivering new station needs to be met
- Risks associated with taking forward complex scheme without funding in place

Legal / Statutory Requirement:

No

Legislative / Statutory Implications:

- Railways Act 2005
- Railway (Licensing of Railway Undertakings) Regulations 2005
- Railways (Access, Management and Licensing of Railway Undertakings) Regulations 2016
- Station to be constructed on operation land under control of Network Rail licensing
- Highways Act 1980

Planning Permission Required: Yes

Building Regulation Reguired:

Yes

Details of Other Required

Franchisee/Operator consent

Access rights to be granted by third party landowners (e.g. RSCH)

Constraints:

Consents:

Financial constraints

Funding need for match funding from private investment.

Other constraints

Rail operator timetabling and scheduling

Parking for station

Pedestrian access over housing land to north of railway line (Apple Tree Pub site)

Remaining Engineering Constraints

- Adjacent third party structures
- Heritage, listed buildings, noise receptors, ecological sensitivities, impact on neighbours
- Walking and highway access requirements
- EMC sensitive sites, and

- Existing non-compliances in the rail infrastructure

Assumptions:

- Successful application to the LEP for Growth Fund Round 4 or another funding source e.g. DfT New Stations Fund
- Planning permission being forthcoming
- Support from local community
- Sign-off from Network Rail at each necessary GRIP stage
- Support from the new rail franchisee (First MTR)
- RSCH prepared to allow vehicular and pedestrian access through their hospital land

Changes / Effects:

- A new railway station at Guildford West
- Additional capacity on the A3, resulting from mode transfer of the users of the Royal Surrey County Hospital and University of Surrey
- Sustainable travel future for the Guildford West area
- Allows UoS and RSCH to access national rather than regional markets, for example students, patients, employers, employees etc.

Economically, the project will also address a barrier to the attraction of the R & D activities from leading edge global companies and from dynamic ventures in the niche sectors, prioritised by Enterprise M3. Prominent among this is the 5G research activity. 5G will generate a global market worth £11bn. Specialist 5G research currently undertaken at the Research Park has scope to attract very large amounts of investment from international companies (£60m in private investment has already been attracted) thereby providing a major global lead for the UK in these technologies in Guildford.

Floor space on the existing Research Park is virtually full, so accommodating these expanded activities will depend on the development of new employment space planned at the 11 hectare extension to Surrey Research Park. Because usage of road infrastructure on the A3 and into the Guildford West area is considered by Highways England to be at full capacity, it has to be demonstrated that any additional development will not cause severe damage to the transport system before any additional sites served by the junction can be approved for development. Should development not proceed, a substantial level of international investment, for which there are very strong prospects, is likely to be deterred.

The project is needed to unlock this major constraint. The enhanced accessibility to staff and business visitors brought about by the project will provide the functionality necessary for the 5G activities to successfully compete with other global locations, generate the investment confidence needed to attract international research operations and continue to attract leading edge innovators to the park.

Measures for Success:

- Number of passengers alighting and boarding at the new Guildford West station
- Increase in capacity along the A3 and on local road network resulting from modal transfer
- Congestion relief at Guildford main line station
- Reduction in traffic congestion on local road network
- Reduction in job vacancies for local employers (including RSCH & UoS)
- Improved air quality from reduced traffic congestion

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

'Do nothing' - this option was rejected because it would not address fundamental local issues with the strategic and local highway that will preclude growth at the University, Hospital and Research Park and would not deliver any local social or economic benefits to the Park Barn area.

'Other site options' - Two station site options were investigated. The western site accessed from Pink's Hill and the eastern site is close to the Egerton Road overbridge. The western station site was adjacent to Blackwell Farm which has been allocated as a strategic development site. It is immediately west of the existing Surrey Research Park and the University of Surrey's Manor Park Campus. It is linked to both with a series of footpaths.

The eastern site is located immediately to the north of the existing hospital car park and would be accessed from Egerton Road. It has good footpath and cycle links through the local area, including to the hospital, local schools, shops and the Research Park. Pedestrian and cycle access would also be provided from the site of the former Apple Tree public house across the railway to the hospital car park. Concerns were initially identified regarding engineering constraints in this location, including track gradient and curvature, but these have been addressed to the satisfaction of Network Rail under the GRIP2 stage engineering report.

The Strategic Outline Business Case indicated that the site next to the RSCH is the optimal option in terms of likely passenger demand and locational benefits and this is the option that has been included as a proposed allocation in the Submission Local Plan.

Year	Description		pital ue (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Option selection (GRIP Stage	3)	0	F5609	Guildford West Railway	
				N/A	N/A	75,000
2018/19	Network Rail Fees (GRIP 3)		0	F5609	Guildford West Railway	
				N/A	N/A	25,000
2018/19	Detailed option developmen	t (GRIP 4)	150,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Network Rail Fees (GRIP 4)		50,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0

Non Financial Benefits						
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date			
Transfer of trips from road to rail	Reduced Carbon	Travel surveys, traffic counters, gateline counts, air quality measurement	01/04/24			
Reduction in congestion	Reduced Carbon	Reduction in travel times from entering periphery of Guildford town centre to arriving at destination.	01/04/24			
Increase in social mobility	Improved Social Benefits	Increase in employment rates for low grade staff within vicinity at hospital, university and business park.	01/04/24			

Risks	
Title	Description
Financial	There is a risk that the required funding assembly for the station, consisting of contributions from both the public and private sectors, is not forthcoming.
Compliance	There is a risk that the new station does not meet Network Rail requirements. This could include not meeting engineering and operational needs, health and safety requirements during both construction and end state design, economic viability etc.
Operational	In order for the station to be effective operationally, if needs good highway links to the local roads. The land through which the roads will pass does not lie within Council ownership and consents from the land owner will be required.

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy:

10 - Very High

The Department of Business Innovation and Skills (BIS) is currently taking forward a programme of Science and Innovation Audits, whose aims will include identifying and validating areas of potential global competitive advantage across the UK, providing the basis for stronger future bids for local investment and fostering collaboration between universities and local businesses, local authorities and LEPs. Guildford can be expected to figure prominently in the base of findings generated by the audit.

This project will enable Guildford West to expand as a base of technological excellence and thereby become a hub of true worldwide significance and the major anchor point of the M3 sci-tech corridor. This will generate an increase in business rates and an increase in secondary business activity in order to support this world centre of technological excellence.

The first phase of the extension of the Research Park will be able to proceed more smoothly as businesses will benefit more and with greater benefits being felt and the project will enable delivery of 17,500 sqm of R & D floor space.

Due to the improvements, substantial inward investment from international companies involved in 5G research and downstream activity and expansions planned by local science-based companies in niche sectors will be captured. Development on two remaining plots on the existing Research Park will be able to proceed.

Given the proposed investment in the Sustainable Movement Corridor, the expansion of university faculties being planned on the Manor Park Campus is likely to be accelerated and create a wider spectrum of university facilities. Together, these would enable an increase in annual student numbers by 4,000 to be brought forward, together with organic growth of existing academic offerings. Provision of an improved access to the Research Park from the west will improve journey times getting on and off at the Park and provide relief to the Onslow junction with the A3.

A new rail station would support the exceptional growth opportunities of the Guildford West area, in particular those related to the UTC and Royal Surrey County Hospital.

The UTC at Park Barn is intended to serve a catchment 30 miles wide. With the new station, this will become conveniently accessible to students from each part of the Enterprise M3 area within this catchment, substantially increasing the prospects for take up of places.

The project will also be helpful in creating the conditions for the bringing forward of new housing investment within the proposed urban extension to the west of Guildford, this being subject to allocation in the final Local Plan and appropriate planning approvals.

Our Borough:

6 - Medium

A new railway station will through regenerating brownfield land, act to protect green belt land from further development. It will also increase accessibility to the site for users across the region.

Our Infrastructure:

10 - Very High

The proposed interventions will effectively manage traffic flows, upgrade junction capacity and provide for all modal forms of travel with the proposed Sustainable Movement Corridor from the University to the town centre. The construction of a new railway station would alleviate traffic congestion, give new transport links to residents and businesses both old and new, and support modal shift.

Our Environment:

10 - Very High

A new railway station will increase the viability of rail travel to the site for residents and businesses alike, reducing congestion and improving air quality.

Our Society:

8 - Medium to High

A new railway station will act as a gateway to the Guildford West site and act as a local point for increased investment. This will contribute towards the creation of a sustainable community at this location, no longer dependent on car travel. It will allow access to new opportunities such as training and jobs markets for members of the Park Barn community, an area of social depravation.

Your Council:

6 - Medium

Fundamental Themes Total:

50

Other Category Themes

Asset Management:	0 - None			
Business Case:	2 - Low			
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	10 - Very High			
Service Delivery:	0 - None			
Third Party Funding:	10 - Very High			
Other Themes Tota	ıl: 22			
Themes Total:	72			

Bid for Funding: Feasibility Study into Decking of Millbrook Car



Project Name:		Feasibility Implemen		king of Millbrook Car Park &				
Project Code:		2017		177				
Project Description:		Provision of a first floor deck above the existing public car park to deliver an additional circa 80 parking spaces (net)						
Project / Program	nme Manager:	Rob Curtis Ward:		Ward:	Friary St. Nicolas Ward			
Senior Responsible Officer:		Zac Ellwood		Directorate:	Development			
Corporate Plan Theme:		Our Infrastructure		Confidential:	No			
Expected Start Date:		01/04/201	8	Exempt VAT Implications:	No			
Target Completio	on Date:	31/12/201	8	Grant access to someone:				
Drivers and Objectives:								
Background Information:	Follows current SCC scheme to provide a right turn out of the car park. Report on Title already obtained. Currently seeking adverse possession claim for two small parcels of land within car park. Site within a Conservation Area. Site within area of high flood risk. Linked to corporate Parking Strategy aims.							
Project /	To investigat	e feasibilit	e feasibility/costs/implications of providing additional parking spaces at the car park.					
Programme Objectives:	rogramme							
Implications:	Planning permission for development within Conservation Area Flood Risk SCC highways considerations Impact on road network/signalised junction.							
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No							
Legislative / Statutory Implications:	Adverse possession claim not yet granted. Rights of access across car park to existing Boat House in third party ownership.							
Planning Permission Required:	Yes							
Building Regulation Required:	Yes							
Details of Other Required Consents:	EA license po	ossibly for	developing wi	thin floodplain				
Constraints:	Conservation	Area - limi	ts height of str	ucture and number of parking	spaces achievable			

Area of High Flood Risk - safe egress required Right(s) of Way (see Report on Title)

Need to keep existing car park (or parts of it) operational during construction if possible

Assumptions:	Executive support and project mandate SCC support Existing right(s) of access will not impinge on construction of decking Capital funding will be made available
Changes / Effects:	Feasibility study will identify if project can go ahead
Measures for Success:	Feasibility Study delivered on time and within budget Definitive approach for taking project forward identified
Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:	Do nothing - rejected because of need to reduce pressure on gyratory and to compensate for any town centre parking as may be lost elsewhere Go straight to planning and then design & build phase - rejected because of site constraints and need to understand if scheme is feasible before spending significant amounts on detailed design

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Commission Feasibilty Study consultancy	0	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	80,000
2019/20	Design, planning, project management, contractors, construction	2,000,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
osts To	otals				
ear	Capital Total (£) Reve	nue Total (£)			
2018/19	£0	£80,000			
019/20	£2,000,000	£0			
inancia	I Benefits				
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue	Value (£)	
2019/20	Net additional car parking	0	12	25,000	

Financial	Benefits		
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2019/20	Net additional car parking receipts	0	125,000
2020/21	Net additional car parking receipts	0	250,000
2021/22	Net additional car parking receipts	0	260,000
2022/23	Net additional car parking receipts	0	270,000
2023/24	Net additional car parking receipts	0	280,000

Financial	Benefits Totals	
Voor	Conital Total (C)	Povenue Total (

Year Capital Total (£) Revenue Total (£)

2019/20		0	125,000			
2020/21		0	250,000			
2021/22		0	260,000			
2022/23		0	270,000			
2023/24		0	280,000			
Non Financial	Benefits					
Title		Categ	ory		Measure	Expected Delivery Date
Reduced pressure	on gyratory	Reduce	d Carbon		Assessment of traffic flows	01/04/20
Support for local ed shoppers	conomy &	Improve	ed Customer Satisf	faction		
Fundamental Tour Economy: Our Borough:	hemes 8 - Medium to 8 - Medium to					
our Borough.	o - iviedidiri to	ı iigii				
Our Infrastructure:	8 - Medium to	High				
Our Environment:	4 - Low to Med	lium				
Our Society:	8 - Medium to	High				
Your Council:	8 - Medium to	High				
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 4	4				
Other Category	Themes					
Asset Management:	6 - Medium					
Business Case:	8 - Medium to	High				
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	0 - None					
Service Delivery:	0 - None					

Other Themes Total:

Third Party Funding:

14

0 - None

Themes Total:

58

Bid for Funding: Replacement of Pay on Foot Equipment in Castle,

Legislative /

Statutory Implications:



Project Name:				Foot Equipment in Castle, Tunsga n Road car parks	ate,		
Project Code:		2017		181			
Project Description:		To specify , procure and install new pay on foot equipment in Castle, Tunsgate, York Road and Farnham Road car parks which will provide the benefits of Automatic Number plate recognition PR .					
Project / Programme Manager:		Kevin Mc	Kee	Ward:	Holy Trinity Ward		
Senior Responsible Officer: Corporate Plan Theme: Expected Start Date:		Robert Crane		Directorate:	Environment		
		Your Cou	ıncil	Confidential:	No		
		01/04/201	18	Exempt VAT Implications:			
Target Completio	n Date:	01/10/202	20	Grant access to someone:			
Objectives: Background Information:	on-line accour new car parkin tendering for a developments Our current pa and provides of by waving the and allows reg When the drivitried Automatio other issues so With a new sy and pay and of	and smale of the second	arter technology for Bedford Rogern system we eted. ystem works we coins and notes a reader. The to come and go add more creplate Recognitism was never a control of the c	y for managing entry, exit and pay ad and Leapale Road and building will be able to put the latest technical will be ab	g a pay station in the car park. We also lower than expected and there were methods of payment, for example wave developed to recognise the signature entry and exit times rather than		
Project / Programme Objectives:	Design specifi Issue PQQs - Evaluate PQQ Issue Tender I Evaluate retur Award contract	rch and ma cation and June 2019 S - July 20 Documents rned tende	scoring criteria 119 s - August 2019 rs - September	r-October 2019			
Implications:	engineer on si	There maybe TUPE implications between the old and new contractor as the current contractor has a full time engineer on site. We need to manage the implementation to ensure maximum availability of parking space during the work. Easier ways to pay could reduce the number of cash collections needed					
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No						
Legislative /	Need to follow	tender pro	cedures				

Planning Permission Required:	No
Building Regulation Required:	No
Details of Other	None
Required Consents:	
Constraints:	There are legal constraints on the way we can operate car parks and the type of systems we use.
Assumptions:	It is assumed that funding will come from the Car Park Maintenance Reserve.
Changes / Effects:	None
Measures for Success:	A system which supports new and effective methods of entry and exit and methods of payment. These will be added to as the specification is defined.
/iable Options and Rejection Reasons:	We could carry on with our existing system but this is becoming older technology. The credit/debit card acceptance system needs to be replaced. We would not be offering our customers better and more efficient ways to pay.
	We could look to upgrade our existing system. We would need to use our existing supplier and be limited to the developments they will bring forward.
	To get the most appropriate system a fresh procurement exercise is recommended. Our currently supplier or other suppliers could re-use equipment that still had life or could be upgraded.
Costs	

Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
Consultants/Legal Fees	15,00	N/A	N/A	
		N/A	N/A	0
Implementation	585,00	N/A	N/A	
		N/A	N/A	0
	Consultants/Legal Fees	Consultants/Legal Fees 15,000	Value (f) Code Consultants/Legal Fees 15,000 N/A N/A N/A Implementation 585,000 N/A	Value (£) Code Name Consultants/Legal Fees 15,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Implementation 585,000 N/A N/A

Non Financial Benefits							
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date				
Easier to use parking equipment	Improved Customer Satisfaction	Compliments, customer loyality	03/03/20				

Title Description non -delivery of elements of the specification With any new technology there is a risk that the system does not perform in the way expected. There is a balance between using tested systems and ensuring a new system is as advanced as posisble.

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy:	6 - Medium
	The car parks support the towns economy and it is important to make access to the town as easy and problem free as possible.
Our Borough:	6 - Medium
	The car parks provide access to the town and help enhance the leisure and shopping offer.
Our	6 - Medium
Infrastructure:	The car parks are a key part of the towns infrastructure and encouraging motorists to use car parks reduces congestion on the streets.
Our Environment:	4 - Low to Medium
	The car parks are a key part of the towns infrastructure and encouraging motorists to use car parks reduces congestion on the streets. We are seeking to develop interceptor car parks to take cars off the roads before they get to congested parts of the town.
Our Society:	4 - Low to Medium
	Our car parks have very low levels of crime and provide a safe place for the disabled and people with walking difficulties to access the town centre and have an active life.
Your Council:	6 - Medium
	The car parks provide surplus income to support the council. We need to ensure they are attractive to users.
Other Category Asset Management:	Themes
management.	
Business Case:	
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	
Service Delivery:	8 - Medium to High
	We need to ensure we offer visitors to Guildford a first rate experience to ensure they return and enhance the reputation of the town.
Third Party Funding:	
Other Themes Tota	al: 8
Themes Total:	

Bid for Funding: Structural Repairs to Multi Storey Car Parks

Effects:



Project Name:	oject Name: Structural Repairs to Multi Storey Car Parks								
Project Code:		2017		194					
Project Descripti	ion:	concrete te the latest s pointing re	esting to ensur et of structura pairs, barrier r	I surveys indicate a level of work	identify defects. The initial results of required, including concrete and his project will oversee the work needed				
Project / Progran	nme Manager:	Asset Deve	elopment	Ward:	Friary St. Nicolas Ward				
Senior Responsi	ble Officer:	Kevin McK	ee	Directorate:	Environment				
Corporate Plan Theme:		Our Infrast	ructure	Confidential:	No				
Expected Start D	ate:	01/04/2018	3	Exempt VAT Implications:	No				
Target Completion	on Date:	31/03/2020)	Grant access to someone:					
Drivers and Objectives: Background Information:	Repairing defe	ects early he eries of inspense. A survey	lps reduce the ections which corvisually insp	overall cost and maintains the ca	uctural surveys help identify issues. ar parks to a high standard. r staff and health and safety monitoring ach year and every five years they have				
Project / Programme Objectives:	Asset Development to complete structural inspections by the end of 2017. Assess priorities for the work and act on any urgent repairs immediately. With other repairs we will prioritise, estimate the cost and tender for remedial action.								
Implications:	If defects are not identified and repaired then they are likely to get worse, the cost of repair will increase and ultimately the structures could become unsafe.								
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	Yes								
Legislative / Statutory Implications:	•	We are required to ensure our car parks are safe. To do so we need an adequate inspection programme and need to act on the findings.							
Planning Permission Required:	No	No							
Building Regulation Required:	Yes								
Details of Other Required Consents:	Any works car	ry out must o	comply with bu	uilding regulations.					
Constraints:	Where possible	work needs	to be carried	out with the car parks operating .					
Assumptions:	Manager and P parks increases repairs yet we of The work needs	arking Services the costs of consider it is add will be priced will be priced.	ces Manager in f maintaining the prudent to ask oritised and the	he structure will increase. While of or funds of up to £300,000 from ese funds will enable us to start o	dings by the Asset Development rks required. As the age of the car we do not have cost estimates for the nather Car Park Maintenance Reserve. On the most urgent items if it does not nather Car Park Maintenance Reserve.				
Changes /	The condition o	The condition of the multi storey car parks will improve and future maintenance costs will be reduced.							

Measures for Success:

Identifying issues early and avoiding more serious structural or health and safety issues in the multi storey car parks.

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

We must ensure the car parks are safe. We could defer non urgent repairs but this is likely to lead to them becoming worse and lead to higher costs for future repairs.

We could look at rebuilding some of the multi storeys but this is a very expensive option which will disrupt parking while the work is undertaken. The reduction in maintenance costs would not off set the cost of rebuilding and so there is currently no business case to support such action.

Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Remedial works	200,00	0 N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Remedial works	100,00	0 N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
Costs To		T. (1/0)			
/ear	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)			
2018/19	£200,000	£0			

Non Financial Benefits							
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date				
Well maintained car parks and increased customner satisfaction	Improved Customer Satisfaction	All defects repaired	01/04/20				

Title Description The risks will be fully assess when the fullI nature of the work is determined by the structural surveys

Fundamental Themes

Infrastructure:

Our Economy: 6 - Medium

The car parks provide an important access to the town and support the economy

Our Borough: 6 - Medium

The multi storey car parks support the renewal of the town centre and are important to the development of North Street and other business led developments in the town centre.

Our 6 - Medium

The continued maintenance of the multi storey car parks to a high standard supports the aims of the Parking Strategy and provides important infrastructure to help tackle congestion.

Our Environment: 0 - None

Our Society:	4 - Low to Medium
	The provision of good quality, accessible car parks provides vital access to people with mobility difficulties and other issues.
Your Council:	6 - Medium
	Maintaining the car parks in a good condition reduces future costs of repair and protects the income the council receives from parking.
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 28
Other Category	Themes
Asset Management:	8 - Medium to High
management.	The multi storey car parks are major assets and need to be maintained.
Business Case:	
Health and Safety	8 - Medium to High
/ Statutory Requirement:	We must maintain the car parks so they are safe.
Service Delivery:	6 - Medium
	Failing to maintain the car parks can lead to more serve problems and result in a reduction in service delivery.
Third Party Funding:	
Other Themes Tota	al: 22
Themes Total:	50

Bid for Funding: Shawfield Day Centre



Project Name:		Shawfield [Day Centre					
Project Code:		2017 197						
Project Description	on:	Rewire including replacement fire alarm system & upgrading lighting to LED						
Project / Program	me Manager:	Jonathan Richards		Ward:	Ash and South Tongham Ward			
Senior Responsible Officer:		Helen Buck		Directorate:	Community			
Corporate Plan Theme:		Your Council		Confidential:	No			
Expected Start Da	ite:	01/10/2018		Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes			
Target Completion	n Date:	01/01/2019		Grant access to someone:				
Drivers and Objectives:	system is over	40 years old protection -	d ensure fire ala	arm system is compliant & prote	c inspection report - existing electrical ects building & occupants			
Background Information:	Periodic electrical reports have highlighted that the 30 year old electrical installation requires replacement to current standards. It has had many alterations hence the recommendation for replacement. The current fire alarm system has become unreliable & also needs to be replaced to comply with current standards to ensure a high standard of fire safety & asset protection. The existing installation comprises of 2 separate systems which need to be replaced with a single one for effective operation & management. Lighting needs to be uprated to LED to increase effectiveness & reduce energy usage							
Project / Programme Objectives:	To provide a safe, effective & maintainable electrical installation To provide a single, reliable fire alarm system to the Centre. To provide energy efficient, effective LED lighting							
Implications:	Renewal of the electrical installation including the fire alarm system is required to provide compliant, reliable systems, maintaining high standards of safety & fire strategy compliance. Uprating the lighting to more effective & energy efficient LED units will reduce operational energy costs. The works will ensure safety for staff & users of the facility & provide a compliaint, maintainable operational asset for the Council							
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No							
Legislative / Statutory Implications:								
Planning Permission Required:	No							
Building Regulation Required:	No							
Details of Other Required Consents:	N/a							

Constraints:

Operational building to be maintained during works - adherance to health & safety, protection of users/staff. Potential affect on some service provision & works need to be programmed around management of facility

Assumptions:	Ability to carry out works around operational facility
Changes / Effects:	Fully compliant & effective electrical & fire alarm installation Effective lighting output & energy savings through LED
Measures for Success:	Reduction in maintenance costs & call outs LED lighting - energy efficient - reduction in utility costs
Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:	No viable alternative If works are not carried out this could result in increased risk of electrical safety incident/failure of system, failure of fire alarm leading to risk of life/asset. In addition maintenance costs will increase due to the age of the systems. Parts for systems wil become obsolete & prevent effective repair.
Costs	

Costs						
Year	Description		Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Rewire, new alarm, LED	lighting	75,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	internal fees		7,500	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0

Financial	Benefits		
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2019/20	energy saving estimate LED	0	2,000
2020/21	energy saving estimate LED	0	2,000
2021/22	energy saving estimate LED	0	2,000
2022/23	energy saving estimate LED	0	2,000
2023/24	energy saving estimate LED	0	2,000

Financial	Benefits Totals	
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)
2019/20	0	2,000
2020/21	0	2,000
2021/22	0	2,000
2022/23	0	2,000
2023/24	0	2,000

Non Financial	Benefits			Formantad
Title	Category		Measure	Expected Delivery Date
LED lighting	Reduced Carbon		reduction in electricity usage	01/04/20
Risks				
Title	Description			
Fundamental T	nemes			
Our Economy:	0 - None			
Our Borough:	0 - None			
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None			
Our Environment:	6 - Medium			
	energy effcient LED lighting			
Our Society:	2 - Low			
Your Council:	0 - None			
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 8			
Other Category	Themes			
Asset Management:	10 - Very High			
management.	replacement of M&E services at end of	of life span		
Business Case:	0 - None			
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	8 - Medium to High Electrical installation at the end of fun Replacement of 2 outdated fire alarm	ctional life span system with a si	& effectiveness ngle effective installation	
Service Delivery:	6 - Medium			
	Propsosed works will allow facility to c	continue to provi	de an effective community service	
Third Party	0 - None			
Funding:	Nil			
Other Themes Tota	al: 24			
Themes Total:	32			

Bid for Funding: Sutherland Memorial Park - rewire & separate electrical

Details of Other Required Consents:



Project Name:			orial Park - rewire & separate electrical					
Project Code:		services	2017 198					
•								
Project Description:			Separation of supplies & rewire of the electrical installation into 3 buildings/areas to the Sutherland Memorial Park site.					
Project / Programme Manager:		Jonathan Richard	ds Ward:	Worplesdon Ward				
Senior Responsible Officer:		Helen Buck	Directorate:	Environment				
Corporate Plan T	heme:	Your Council	Confidential:	No				
Expected Start Da	ate:	01/09/2018	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes				
Target Completio	n Date:	01/11/2018	Grant access to someone:					
Drivers and Objectives: Background Information:	To upgrade the existing electrical installation & separate the electrical services into 3 sections for the 3 separat user groups as per Client requirements. The primary reason for separation being the ability to recharge accurately the 2 external users who occupy the same building & use the same power supply. The electrical installation requires upgrading & at the same time the Client requirement for separation of the electrical services can be achieved. The service will be split into 3 sections for the 3 user groups as follows - Social Club Bowling Club							
Project / Programme Objectives:	The current wiring is over 30 years old & requires upgrading To provide an upgraded reliable electrical system which allows utility usage costs to be fairly allocated to the appropriate users. One of the main Client issues is being able to recharge the use of the supply to the different users. There are three tenants – Burpham Bowling Club, Sutherland Memorial Park Amenities Club and GBC all occupying the same building and using the same power supply. Under the lease each party is responsible for paying for their element of use, this is often a point of contention as no party is able to justified what they have used or manage what they use. Significant issues are caused to the Client							
Implications:	•	enforcing the leason	es increased unreliability of the installation	& inability to fairly allocate utility usage				
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No							
Legislative / Statutory Implications:								
Planning Permission Required:	No							
Building Regulation Required:	No							

Constraints:	Works need to I	Vorks need to be carried out outside busy seasonal times in liaison with Client						
Assumptions:	Ability to work a	round operation of site	& users					
Changes / Effects:	Upgraded comp	liant system, able to all	low separate e	electric utilit	y charging to user group)S		
Measures for Success:	Provides easier	management of site ch	narges & billing	g administra	ation for Client			
Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:	If project is not of to administer - p	carried out - issues with octential for GBC to inc	n user groups our more costs	& Client cha if unable to	arges for energy usage v recharge/dispute fairly	will continue to be difficult		
Costs								
Year D	Description		Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)		
2018/19 Upgr	ade & separato	e electrical system	35,000	N/A	N/A			
				N/A	N/A	0		
2018/19 inter	nal fees		3,500	N/A	N/A			
				N/A	N/A	0		
Non Financia	I Benefits					Expected		
Title		Category			asure	Delivery Date		
separation & mana utility charges to u		Improved Income Ge	neration	compa	rison with previous bill re	ecords		
reduction in admin	nistration	Reduced Processing	Time					
Fundamental T Our Economy: Our Borough:	Themes 0 - None 0 - None							
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None							
Our Environment:	2 - Low							
	May reduce us	age due to accurate bi	lling					
Our Society:	0 - None							

Your Council:	0 - None
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 2
Other Category	Themes
Asset	6 - Medium
Management:	Upgrade to asset installation
	Improves asset management for the Client
Business Case:	0 - None
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	0 - None
Service Delivery:	8 - Medium to High
	Improves service to users Provides improved asset management for the Client
Third Party Funding:	
Other Themes Tota	al: 14
Themes Total:	16

Bid for Funding: Park Barn Day Centre - install new Air Source



Project Name:		Park Barn Day Centre - install new Air Source heating system						
Project Code:		2017 200						
Project Description:		Replacement of existing heating & hot water system with Air Source Heat Pump technology						
Project / Program	nme Manager:	Jonathan Richards	Ward:	Westborough Ward				
Senior Responsi	ble Officer:	Helen Buck	Directorate:	Community				
Corporate Plan Theme:		Our Environment	Confidential:	No				
Expected Start D	ate:	01/06/2018	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes				
Target Completion	on Date:	31/07/2018	Grant access to someone:					
Drivers and Objectives:	technology A Proposed sys over 7 years	rir Source Heat Pump stem will be energy ef	fficient reducing utility costs & pro	oviding a government grant payback				
Background Information:			set life & has compromised relial nergy efficient heating & hot wate	bility due to age. The new ASHP er to the centre.				
	Air Source Heat Pump systems capture heat from the outside air throughout the year & concentrate it for use inside the building this heat is used to raise the temperature of the circulating water in the radiator system. Heat pumps work on a similar basis to refrigerators & air conditioning units.							
Project / Programme Objectives:		reliable heating & hot reduced by 50%	t water supply to a community fac	cility, with the advantage of utility				
Implications:		em needs to be renew the facility due to brea		issues. Failure to do so could result				
	Installation of	f ASHP technology wi	ill reduce heating/hot water elect	ric utility costs by 50%				
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No							
Legislative / Statutory Implications:								
Planning Permission Required:	No							
Building Regulation Required:	No							
Details of Other Required Consents:	Siting of air fa	an unit will need to be	agreed due to size/location					
Constraints:	Works need to	be carried our during the	e Summer season & whilst the com	munity facility is in operation				
Assumptions:	Utility cost savir	ngs of approx 50% per y	year					
Changes / Effects:	Energy savings Increased control of heating system							

Measures	for
Success:	

Energy savings, reduction in maintenance/call out costs

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Retaining existing system will mean

- increased maintenance & break down costs, failure of system affects the use of the community facility.
- loss of an opportunity to make energy savings on utility costs, install renewable green energy system & reduce Carbon footprint.

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	new ASHP system	130,00	0 N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	internal fees	13,00	0 N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0

Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2019/20	annual saving on gas utility bill costs	0	4,000
2019/20	feed in tariff pay back for renewable energy system	18,500	0
2020/21	renewable heating incentive pay back	18,500	0
2021/22	renewable heating incentive pay back	18,500	0
2022/23	renewable heating incentive pay back	18,500	0
2023/24	renewable heating incentive pay back	18,500	0
2024/25	renewable heating incentive pay back	18,500	0
2025/26	renewable heating incentive pay back	18,500	0

Financial Benefits Totals

Year Capital Total (£) Revenue Total (£)

2019/20	18,5	4,000		
2020/21	18,5	500		
2021/22	18,5			
2022/23	18,5			
2023/24	18,5			
2024/25	18,5			
2025/26	18,5	0		
Non Financial	Benefits			Expected
Title		Category	Measure	Delivery Date
renewable energy	system	Reduced Carbon		31/03/19
reduction break do controllable system		Improved Service Provision	good customer/staff feedback	01/11/18
Risks				
Title		Description		
If project not carried of heating/hot water	d out -failure r system	Loss of community facility use		
If project not carried	d out -	Loss of energy saving & renewab	le energy incentive pay back	
Our Economy:	0 - None			
Our Borough:	6 - Medium			
ou. Dolougiii	Green renew			
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None		,	
Our Environment:	10 - Very High			
		able energy - environmentally fr	riendly	
Our Society:	2 - Low	Nametra la mafit ta magra		
Your Council:	0 - None	Centre benefit to users		
Fundamental Then	nes Total:	18		
Other Category	Themes			
Asset Management:	10 - Very High			
		nreliable heating/hw system wit	h new green energy installation	
Business Case:	0 - None			

Health and Safety / Statutory	4 - Low to Medium
Requirement:	Heating & H/water - reliable system required to operate day centre
Service Delivery:	6 - Medium
	Heating & H/water - reliable system required to operate day centre & provide community service
Third Party Funding:	0 - None
Other Themes Tota	al: 20
Themes Total:	38

Bid for Funding: Millmead House - M&E plant renewal

Reduction in breakdown & maintenance

Effects:



Project Name:		Millmead House - M&E plant renewal					
Project Code:		2017		201			
Project Description:		Replace kitchen & staff toilets extract & ventilation plant					
Project / Progran	nme Manager:	Jonathan Ri	ichards	Ward:	Friary St. Nicolas Ward		
Senior Responsible Officer:		Helen Buck		Directorate:	Resources		
Corporate Plan Theme:				Confidential:	No		
Expected Start Date:		01/08/2018		Exempt VAT Implications:	No		
Target Completion	on Date:	01/11/2018		Grant access to someone:			
Drivers and Objectives:		ant needs to b	be reconfigure	on to the kitchen & staff toilets no d for current new area/use- this	eeds to be replaced. work was not included in the original		
Background Information:				tract systems need to be replace new restaurant facility.	ed. They are life expired & the kitchen		
inomaton.		quired to ensi	ure the system	•	nnecessary breakdown which affects		
Project /	To replace life kitchen ventila				staff toilet areas. To reconfigure		
Programme Objectives:	Kitchen ventha	tion plant to s	out new restau	mant racinty			
Implications:	M&E works will kitchen/restara			are required to ensure continue	ed service operation of the Council		
	Failure to upgr	ade could lea	ad to breakdov	vn & increased maintenance cos	sts		
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No						
Legislative / Statutory Implications:							
Planning Permission	No						
Required:							
Building Regulation Required:	No						
Details of Other	n/a						
Required Consents:							
Constraints:	visitors/staff. Po	tential effect	on some servi	ng the works - adherance to hea ce provision in restaurant to allo agement of building.	Ith & safety & protection of ow work to be carried out.		
Assumptions:	Ability to carry of	out works duri	ng operational	I times, with some out of hours v	working (eg late evenings)		
Changes /	Effective M& E ventilation & extraction to the kitchen & staff toilet facilities.						

Measures	for
Success:	

Reduction is maintenance costs & call outs.
Reliable ventilation & extraction to kitchen & staff toilet areas

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Works could be delayed but this would lead to increased breakdowns/call outs & potential ventilation/extraction system failure.

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Replacement ventilation & extraction plant	30,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	internal/external fees	3,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
Costs To	otals				
Year	Capital Total (£) Revenue Tota	l (£)			
2018/19	£33,000	£0			

Non Financial Benefits			Expected
Title	Category	Measure	Expected Delivery Date
reduction in maintenance costs	Reduced Asset Costs	maintenance records	
Risks			
Title	Description		
ventilation plant system failure	high revenue cost to repair exist	sting systems	

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy:	0 - None
Our Borough:	0 - None
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None
Our Environment:	2 - Low
Our Society:	0 - None
Your Council:	0 - None

Other Category	Themes						
Asset	10 - Very High						
Management:	replacement of essential M&E plant at the end of its life span & suitability						
Business Case:	0 - None						
Health and Safety / Statutory	2 - Low						
Requirement:	Allows effective continued operation of offices & public service facility						
Service Delivery:	4 - Low to Medium						
	Allows effective continued operation of offices & public service facility						
Third Party Funding:	0 - None						
J							
Other Themes Tota	al: 16						
Themes Total:	18						

Fundamental Themes Total: 2

Bid for Funding: Hydro private wire



Project Name:		Hydro priva	ate wire					
Project Code:		2017		205				
Project Description:		Link the T	foll House Hyd	dro to Millmead and possibly \	Yvonne Arnaud Theatre			
Project / Progran	nme Manager:	Cati Smith		Ward:	Holy Trinity Ward			
Senior Responsi	ble Officer:	Kevin Hand	dley	Directorate:	Resources			
Corporate Plan T	heme:	Our Enviro	nment	Confidential:	No			
Expected Start D	ate:	01/06/2018	3	Exempt VAT Implications:	No			
Target Completion	on Date:	01/08/2018	3	Grant access to someone:				
Drivers and Objectives:			om hydro gene vable energy t		ependence on the grid supply			
Background Information:	power back to reducing to 3	o Millmead p per unit a	. This position and the price v	has now changed with the pr	cost effective to bring the generated rice we sell the power to the grid believe it will be a reasonable			
Project / Programme Objectives:	1 Green our2 Take anot		ward the Millm	nead complex being electrical	lly self sufficient and off the grid.			
Implications:	We need to g private wire the			terways and the Yvonne Arna	aud on board and consent to run the			
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No							
Legislative / Statutory Implications:	N/A							
Planning Permission Required:	No							
Building Regulation Required:	Yes							
Details of Other Required Consents:	As already m	entioned la	and owners					
Constraints:	 Payback to Partners gr 			or under their land				
Assumptions:	Partners supp							
Changes / Effects:	None. The ca	ble will be h	hidden and wil	ll not effect the public using th	ne island for leisure activities			
Measures for	Jpon project completion a reduction in purchased Electricity and an improvement in carbon savings							

Viable Optio and Rejectic Reasons:		as this project on	ly has benefits	•		
Costs						
Year	Description		Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Prive wire installed a	nd connected	85,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
Costs To	tals					
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Tota	al (£)			
2018/19	£85,00	00	£0			
Financial	Benefits					
Year	Description	Сар	oital Value (£)	Revenue	Value (£)	
2018/19	Part year 1		0		9,000	
2019/20	Year 2 and every ye	ear onwards	0	-	11,700	
Financial	Benefits Totals					
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Tot	al (£)			
2018/19		0	9,000			
2019/20		0 1	1,700			
Non Fina	ncial Benefits					Expected
Title		Category		Mea	sure	Delivery Date
Reduction in	n energy purchasing	Improved Income G	eneration	Budget	s going forward	01/08/18
Less electric	city puchased	Reduced Carbon				01/08/18
Risks						
Title		Description				
H&S		Initial installation sta	ملطمه ملنطيين ممس	a ara baina	to a tall and	

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy: 6 - Medium

Our Borough: 6 - Medium

Setting a good example of how to use sustainable energy

Our Infrastructure:	0 - None					
Our Environment:	8 - Medium to High					
	Continues towards the aim of producing re-newable energy and reducing bills					
Our Society:	4 - Low to Medium					
	Setting a good example for others to follow					
Your Council:	8 - Medium to High					
	Demonstrates good practice and good management of assets					
Fundamental Then Other Category						
Asset	8 - Medium to High					
Management:						
	Demonstrates good practice and good management of assets					
Business Case:	10 - Very High Based on 180,000 kWh annual generation, Sale to market at 3.48p = £6264 pa					
	Cost of purchase for Millmead. Current tariff – day 10.837p (17 hours per day)/night 8.045p (7 hours per day) Calculate on 10p/kWh = £18,000 Net avoided cost £11,740 per annum at todays costs.					
Health and Safety	2 - Low					
/ Statutory Requirement:	Work will be completed by qualified and certified contractors					
Service Delivery:	8 - Medium to High					
	Requirement for the team to maximise carbon reduction and deploy renewable energy projects					
Third Party	0 - None					
Funding:	N/A					
Other Themes Tota	al: 28					
Themes Total:	60					

Bid for Funding: Sutherland Memorial Park - Air Source Heat Pump



Project Name:		Sutherland system	Memorial Park	c - Air Source Heat Pump heatin	ng				
Project Code:		2017	2017 207						
Project Description	on:	Replaceme	ent of electric	heating with an Air Source H	leat Pump system				
Project / Program	me Manager:	Jonathan R	chards	Ward:	Worplesdon Ward				
Senior Responsib	le Officer:	Helen Buck		Directorate:	Environment				
Corporate Plan Ti	neme:	Our Environ	ment	Confidential:	No				
Expected Start Da	nte:	01/09/2018		Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes				
Target Completio	n Date:	01/10/2018		Grant access to someone:					
Drivers and Objectives:	Source Heat	Pump instal stem will be	lation. energy efficie	ent reducing utility costs & pro	sset life with a green technology Air oviding a renewable heating				
Background Information:	ASHP system Air Source Ho for use inside	Current system is at the end of its asset life & has compromised reliability & poor controls. The new ASHP system will be reliable, controllable & provide energy efficient heating to the site. Air Source Heat Pump systems capture heat from the outside air throughout the year & concentrate it for use inside the building this heat is used to raise the temperature of the circulating water in the radiator system. Heat pumps work on a similar basis to refrigerators & air conditioning units.							
Project / Programme Objectives:	To provide reliable & controllable heating to the facility, with the advantage of utility revenue costs being reduced by 50% and a 7yr payback on the cost of the scheme The proposal is to have 3 separate systems to the following areas Social Club Bowls Club GBC managed areas								
Implications:		Current system needs to be renewed due to asset age, reliability & controls issues. Failure could affect facility due to breakdowns							
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No								
Legislative / Statutory Implications:									
Planning Permission Required:	No								
Building Regulation Required:	No								
Details of Other Required Consents:	Siting of air fa	an units will	need to be a	greed due to size/location					

Works need to be carried our during the Summer season & whilst the facility is in operation

Constraints:

Assumption		Utility bill revenue cost savings of approx. 50% per year Renewable heat incentive - pay back over 7 years									
Changes / Effects:	Energy savings										
	Increased control & improv	ed heating system									
Measures for Success:	Energy savings, reduction i	n maintenance/call ou	ut costs								
Viable Optio and Rejection Reasons:	 • increased maintenance & • heating failure • Loss of opportunity to ma Replacement with a standa • loss of opportunity to make 	• increased maintenance & break down costs									
Costs											
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)						
2018/19	new ASHP heating system	25,000	N/A	N/A							
			N/A	N/A	0						

N/A

N/A

0

Costs Tot	als	
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)
2018/19	£27,500	£0

Financial	Benefits		
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2019/20	Estimated 50 % reduction in utility cost	0	4,000
2019/20	Feed in tariff renewable heating incentive pay back	3,570	0
2020/21	Feed in tariff renewable heating incentive pay back	3,570	0
2021/22	Feed in tariff renewable heating incentive pay back	3,570	0
2022/23	Feed in tariff renewable heating incentive pay back	3,570	0
2023/24	Feed in tariff renewable heating incentive pay back	3,570	0
2024/25	Feed in tariff renewable	3,570	0

hea	iting incentive	pay ba	ack					
	ed in tariff rene		· ·	' 0	0			
Пеа	iting incentive	pay D	dCK					
Financial Ben	efits Totals							
	Capital Total (£)		Revenue Total (£)					
2019/20	3,5	70	4,000					
2020/21	3,5	70	0					
2021/22	3,5	70	0					
2022/23	3,5	70	0					
2023/24	3,5	570	0					
2024/25	3,5	70	0					
2025/26	3,5	70	0					
Non Financia	l Benefits					Francisco		
Title		Cat	egory		Measure	Expected Delivery Date		
renewable energy	system	Redu	uced Carbon					
reduction in break controllable system		Reduced Asset Costs						
Risks								
Title		Des	cription					
If project not carrie failure of heating	If project not carried out - Affect or							
If project not comm	nissioned	Loss	of potential energy savir	ng & rene	wable energy incentive pay back			
Fundamental T	hemes							
Our Economy:	0 - None							
Our Borough:	6 - Medium							
	Green renewable energy - environmentally friendly							
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None	0 - None						
Our Environment:	10 - Very High							
	Green renew	able e	nergy - environmental	ly friendly	у			
Our Society:	0 - None							
Your Council:	0 - None							

Fundamental Ther	nes Total: 16						
Other Category	Themes						
Asset	10 - Very High						
Management:	Renewal of unreliable heating/hw system with new green energy installation						
Business Case:	0 - None						
Health and Safety	2 - Low						
/ Statutory Requirement:	Statutory requirement to heat areas to minimum temperature						
Service Delivery:	4 - Low to Medium						
	Reliable & controllable system required to operate facility						
Third Party Funding:	0 - None						
Other Themes Tota	al: 16						
Themes Total:	32						

Bid for Funding: Stoke Park Masterplan Professional Fees and



Project Name:	Stoke Park Masterplan Professional Fees and enabling costs					
Project Code:	2017	210				
Project Description:	design team to include, Mechanical and Electric and associated profess project to be implement	Architect, Civil Engineers, Quantit cal Engineers, Project Manager and	d Ecologists to produce the masterplar is for the whole team to enable the			
Project / Programme Manager:	Paul Stacey	Ward:	Christchurch Ward			
Senior Responsible Officer:	Peter O Connell	Directorate:	Environment			
Corporate Plan Theme:	Our Infrastructure	Confidential:	No			
Expected Start Date:	01/11/2018	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes			
Target Completion Date:	01/04/2025	Grant access to someone:				

Drivers and Objectives:

Key drivers:

• The production of the Stoke Park Masterplan is a corporate plan target as follows:

'In consultation with existing users and other stakeholders, produce a masterplan for Stoke Park to make it a vibrant community park and visitor destination'

- In 2017 the Council is undertaking the largest consultation ever done on Stoke Park to establish the needs and wishes of the community and visitors. Once complete and assessed this will form part of the brief along with internal service requirements to tender to a design team.
- Much of the fabric of Stoke Park is in need of investment as the park approaches its centenary year in 2025 so work is required to understand this in detail along with how this should be incorporated in to a revitalised park meeting community needs.
- The masterplan will enable GBC to undertake feasibility work on potential income generating opportunities such as catering and events to establish their viability and options for delivery.
- To establish the financial costs and options and opportunities to access external funding
- This will support the Councils work maintaining the sites Green Flag status

Background Information:

Stoke Park is Guildford Borough Councils largest park and one of the largest public parks in the county of Surrey. The site has been a green flag award winning park for over 10 years, the national standard for parks. The site is some 57 hectares in size.

The site is home to a number of clubs and community organisations and the home of the Surrey County Show. The number of visits made is in the region of 750,000 per annum. The site has a number of facilities and important amenities such as sports pitches, play area, toilets, paddling pool, mini golf. The site is also of heritage and cultural importance containing the remnants of the former Stoke Park parkland, victorian model farm, and walled garden.

The park became a public pleasure ground in 1925 when it was acquired by the guildford corporation and has remained as the green lung of Guildford ever since. Approaching 100 years old some areas of the park are in need of significant investment through wear and tear and the process of time. The town of Guildford has grown, in size and population along with the needs of society, therefore to guide future investment to ensure it meets peoples needs a new masterplan needs to be developed. This is a significant task to appoint the relevant expertise to develop a masterplan, business cases, funding bids and through to delivery on the ground. It is essential that there is consistency in the professional team from start to finish to be delivered in phases and not multpiple appointments for each stage of the works.

Project / Programme Objectives:

- Appoint a professional design team with the relevant skills to produce and deliver a masterplan
- Structure appointment to be called off in phases from initial feasibility work through to implementation and completion
- Deliver a new Masterplan for Stoke Park, including feasibility studies on key elements such as catering provision, options and costs

- Deliver Information to support external funding bids
- Deliver capacity for implementation of the masterplan
- Provide a delivery plan for implementation
- Provide technical documentation for tender and implementation

Implications:

Resource - Internal Project Management and Board required

Financial - GBC funding required to develop plan to obtain external funding

Reputation - Consultation under way and expectation from the community that a masterplan will be produced

Legal / Statutory Requirement:

No

Legislative / Statutory Implications:

Planning Permission Required:

Yes

Building Regulation Required:

Yes

Details of Other Required

Various other consents may be needed to implement the plan

Constraints:

Consents:

- Service capacity Parks has a number of major projects, however this is being programmed to be accomodated within them as a long as a professional team can be appointed
- Spectrum 2 Plan needs to be flexible to work with the redevelopment of spectrum leisure centre
- Funding Availability from GBC, External Funders and Open Market

Assumptions:

That a professional team can be appointed, called off in stages from concept through to delivery

Changes / Effects:

• To provide a revatilsed park that sustains and grows use by the community and visitors meeting their needs

Measures for Success:

New masterplan produced, funded and implemented

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Do nothing - the park remains as it is with ongoing investment to keep in a safe condition. This will not deliver the commitment of the corporate plan, nor ensure that the park is able to meet the needs of the community and possible loss of income streams

Year	Description		Capital	Revenue	Revenue Code	Revenue
i eai	Description		Value (f)	Code	Name	Value (£)
2018/19	Professional Fees		100,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Professional Fees		100,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
2020/21	Professional Fees		150,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
2022/23	Professional Fees		150,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
Costs To	otals					
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total	I (£)			
2018/19	£100,00	00	£0			
2019/20	£100,00	00	£0			
2020/21	£150,00	00	£0			
2022/23	£150,00	00	£0			
Year 2023/24	Description Income from Cater		tal Value (£)	Revenue	Value (£) 25,000	
Financia	I Benefits Totals					
		Revenue Tota	ıl (£)			
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Tota 0 25	d (£),000			
Year 2023/24	Capital Total (£)					
Year 2023/24 Non Fina		0 25		Mea	sure	Expected Delivery Dat
Year 2023/24 Non Fina	Capital Total (£)		,000,		sure ed visitor numbers	Expected Delivery Dat 01/04/24
Year 2023/24 Non Fina Title	Capital Total (£) ancial Benefits visitor numbers	0 25.	,000 nefits	Increas		Delivery Date 01/04/24 Γrip 01/04/24
Year 2023/24 Non Fina Title Increased v Improved c and feedba	Capital Total (£) ancial Benefits visitor numbers	Category Improved Social Ben	nefits Satisfaction	Comme	ents and compliments,	Delivery Date 01/04/24 Γrip 01/04/24
Year 2023/24 Non Fina Title Increased v Improved c and feedba	Capital Total (£) ancial Benefits visitor numbers sustomer satisfaction ick	Category Improved Social Ben Improved Customer	nefits Satisfaction	Comme	ents and compliments, reedback, google feedback	Delivery Date 01/04/24 Γrip back
Year 2023/24 Non Fina Title Increased v Improved c and feedba Improved G	Capital Total (£) ancial Benefits visitor numbers sustomer satisfaction ick	Category Improved Social Ben Improved Customer	nefits Satisfaction	Comme	ents and compliments, reedback, google feedback	Delivery Date 01/04/24 Γrip back
Year 2023/24 Non Fina Title Increased v Improved c and feedba	Capital Total (£) ancial Benefits visitor numbers sustomer satisfaction ick Green Flag Scores	Category Improved Social Ben Improved Customer Improved Manageme	nefits Satisfaction ent Information	Comme	eed visitor numbers ents and compliments, 7 feedback, google feedle Flag Assessment	Delivery Date 01/04/24 Γrip back
Year 2023/24 Non Fina Title Increased v Improved c and feedba Improved G Risks	Capital Total (£) ancial Benefits visitor numbers sustomer satisfaction ick Green Flag Scores	Category Improved Social Ben Improved Customer Improved Manageme Description Feasibility work deter	nefits Satisfaction ent Information	Comme advisor Green	eed visitor numbers ents and compliments, 7 feedback, google feedle Flag Assessment	Delivery Dat 01/04/24 Γrip back 01/04/24 01/04/24

Community/reputat	Community objects to the masterplan
Design Team	Design team fails to produce and accepted plan. Design team goes bankrupt
Fundamental T	hemes
Our Economy:	2 - Low
	The masterplan contributes to ensuring local community facilities are available. Green spaces are shown to support the economic vitality of towns and places of work
Our Borough:	6 - Medium
	Stoke Park is a key part of the Councils leisure offering and the scheme is important to ensure we protect and sustain one of the boroughs key greenspaces
Our Infrastructure:	10 - Very High
imasu ucture.	Stoke Park is a core part of the boroughs infrastructure and essential to community for health and wellbeing through the activities and facilities provided on the park
Our Environment:	10 - Very High
	Stoke Park is core to providing a high quality environment in the town. It is key for climate change adaptation, conserving and enhancing biodiversity, air quality, temperature amelioration and surface water attenuation
Our Society:	8 - Medium to High
	Stoke Park is essential for the community to participate in activities either through clubs and organisations or self guided activities. It is also the home to key local community events such as the county show
Your Council:	0 - None
Fundamental Then Other Category	
Asset	8 - Medium to High
Management:	Much of the fabric of Stoke Park is in need of planned investment to ensure the site is safe and opportunities are realised where possible to reduce costs or find income such as through catering
Business Case:	6 - Medium
	Hard cash options are not able to be directly assessed at this stage until feasibility work is completed. However the park is core to savings elsewhere in the public sector such as Health through ensuring it is fit for purpose and accessible
Health and Safety	4 - Low to Medium
/ Statutory Requirement:	The masterplan is required to ensure the fabric of the site has planned investment to keep it safe
Service Delivery:	10 - Very High
	Stoke Park is core to the delivery of the parks service and other core Council Strategies, such as the Play, Sports and Health and Wellbeing Strategies
Third Party	6 - Medium
Funding:	Unknown at this stage but the masterplan should lead to the opportunity to derive significant external funding from bodies such as the Heritage Lottery Fund
Other Themes Tota	al: 34

70

Themes Total:

Bid for Funding: Parks and Countryside Roads, Paths and Car Parks

Legal / Statutory Yes

Requirement:



Project Name:		Parks and Coun and renewal fun		aths and Car Parks Repa	airs
Project Code:		2017	2	11	
Project Descript	ion:	To fund a progra countryside esta		and renewals of paths, ro	pads and car parks within the parks and
Project / Progra	mme Manager:	Paul Stacey	War	d:	
Senior Respons	ible Officer:	Peter O Connell	Dire	ctorate:	Environment
Corporate Plan	Theme:	Our Environmen	nt Con	fidential:	No
Expected Start I	Date:	01/04/2018	Exe	mpt VAT Implications:	Yes
Target Completi	on Date:	31/03/2022	Gra	nt access to someone:	
Drivers and Objectives: Background Information:	To ensure ass To support plate Following varie parks and coulexisting surface Key sites for many surfacing Allen House gonslow Recresutherland Mestoughton Recsutherland Castle Garder Castle Cliff Various small Various Counter To give contex To plane the elay a new tarr Lay a new weat Total: Therefore, as manhole cove 1. resurface the additional 15% 2. resurface the and line marking marking	es, or to replace a epair include: rgent repairs and inding found and in to meet future ner rounds ation ground emorial Park creation Ground is green spaces ryside sites at to the cost of re- existing surface: mac base course: aring course: general examples rs, to: lee Stoke Park car lee Footpaths, acce ing inslow recreation general examples rg, to: lee Tootpaths, acce ing inslow recreation general examples rg, to: lee Tootpaths, acce ing	surfacing, it appr £2/m2 £16/m2 £12/m2 s without looking park by the bowl ground access road and car ground access road and car ground access road arcs.	by Parks and Countryside pairs and renewals is new to ensure sites are safe as expectancy of some sure or areas, Burchatts Farm oxmately costs: at site specific restrictions ing clubs will cost £93,27 park in Stoughton Rec world and car park will cost and and car park will cost	e and the Engineering team across the eded to either extend life expectancy of and fit for purpose. rfaces while the masterplan is Barn and Greenark, require new desig s or issues such new kerbs and ro plus preliminary costs (circa will cost £62,700 plus preliminary costs and line £27,240 plus preliminary costs and line
Project / Programme Objectives:	To ensure the	a programme of r safety of users e quality of the se	•	vais	
Implications:	Financial - Sig Resource - La		ne Engineering te	am to specify and procur	re works

Legislative /	The C
Statutory	visito
Implications:	they
•	

Council is bound by the Occupiers Liability Act where it must ensure the safety of its sites and assets to both ors and trespassers. The council supports significant amounts of visitors to our sites therefore it is important are maintained and safe

Planning Permission Required:

No

Building Regulation Required:

No

Details of Other Required Consents:

Possible drainage consents required on some sites

Constraints:

- Some sites such as Stoke Park are going through longer term planning exercises, however there is a need to repair and extend the life expectancy of some areas while this takes place. Appropriate value for money options are needed such as bitumen slurry sealing some areas
- Availability of engineers and contractors
- Bitumen prices can be volatile

Assumptions:

The costs are substantial, however the estate is large and various levels of repair and renewal are needed often in awkward and difficult sites

Changes / Effects:

Safe and maintained sites which are fit for purpose

Measures for Success:

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Do nothing - sites unsafe to users causing the council to fail in its duty of care to users

Seek external funding - it is highly unlikely that any external funding body will fund the repair of car parks, roads and footpaths with the parks landholding.

Costs

Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	Surfacing works	300,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2019/20	Surfacing works	400,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2020/21	Surfacing works	400,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2021/22	Surfacing works	400,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2022/23	Surfacing works	400,000	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	

Costs Tota	als	
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)
2018/19	£300,000	£0
2019/20	£400,000	£0
2020/21	£400,000	£0
2021/22	£400,000	£0
2022/23	£400,000	£0

Risks	
Title	Description
Resources	Availability of engineering team resources to support procurement and management of works
Bitumen prices	Bitumen prices can be volatile increasing works costs
Temporary closure of paths roads and car parks	Some temporary closures while works take place may cause limite disruption to users of sites

Fundamental Themes

Our Economy:	0 - None	
Our Borough:	4 - Low to Medium	
	Will contribute to ensuring our open spaces are safe and fit for purpose and support that and visitors	neir usage by residents
Our Infrastructure:	6 - Medium	
iiiiasii uoture.	Will contribute to maintaining high quality greenspace	
Our Environment:	: 10 - Very High	
	Will contribute to maintaining high quality greenspace and access to it	
Our Society:	4 - Low to Medium	
	Will support the use of our parks sites by the community and various groups that open	ate within them
Your Council:	0 - None	

Fundamental Themes Total: 24

Other Category Themes

Asset 10 - Very High Management:

Essential to ensure assets are safe and fit for purpose

Business Case: 0 - None

Health and Safety / Statutory	8 - Medium to High
Requirement:	Essential to maintaining safe open spaces and meeting our obligations under Health and Safety
Service Delivery:	10 - Very High
	Key to ensuring our open spaces are safe and accessible
Third Party Funding:	0 - None
Other Themes Tota	al: 28
Themes Total:	52

Bid for Funding: Stoke Park Nursery - new Air Source heating system

Effects:



Project Name:		Stoke Park	Nursery - nev	w Air Source heating system	
Project Code:		2017		212	
Project Descripti	on:	Replaceme	ent of existing	heating & hot water system with	Air Source Heat Pump technology
Project / Program	nme Manager:	Jonathan F	Richards	Ward:	Christchurch Ward
Senior Responsi	ble Officer:	Helen Buck	<	Directorate:	Environment
Corporate Plan T	heme:	Our Enviro	nment	Confidential:	No
Expected Start D	ate:	01/08/2018	}	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes
Target Completion	on Date:	01/09/2018	3	Grant access to someone:	
Drivers and Objectives:	ASHP system. Proposed syst over 7 years	em will be er	nergy efficient	reducing utility costs & providing	f its asset life with green technology g a renewable heat incentive payback
Background Information:	saving efficien Air Source Heinside the build	P system will t heating & h at Pump sys ding this he	I be paid back not water to the tems capture eat is used to	over 7 years (government incen e nursery heat from the outside air through	nout the year & concentrate it for use ulating water in the radiator system.
Project / Programme Objectives:		eliable heatir			vith the advantage of utility costs being
Implications:	Current system of the facility de			e to asset age & reliability issues	s. Failure to do so could result in closure
1 1 / 0 / . /		ace with ASF	HP would mea	n losing opportunity for 50% reve	enue utility cost saving
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No				
Legislative / Statutory Implications:					
Planning Permission Required:	No				
Building Regulation Required:	No				
Details of Other Required Consents:	Siting of air far	n unit will ne	ed to be agree	ed due to size & suitable location	
Constraints:	Works need to	be carried ou	ur during the S	Summer season & whilst the com	munity facility is in operation
Assumptions:	Utility cost savir Pay back on sy			ır	
Changes / Effects:	Energy savings Increased contr		system		

Measures for Success:

Energy savings, reduction in maintenance/call out costs

Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:

Retaining existing system will mean increased maintenance & break down costs, failure of the system affects the

use of the facility.

Opportunity to make energy savings on utility costs, install renewable green energy system & reduce Carbon footprint will be lost if the project is not carried out.

Costs					
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	new ASHP system	15,00	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0
2018/19	internal fees	1,50	N/A	N/A	
			N/A	N/A	0

Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Value (£)
2019/20	annual saving on utility bill 50%	0	3,800
2019/20	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0
2020/21	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0
2021/22	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0
2022/23	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0
2023/24	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0
2024/25	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0
2025/26	feed in tariff incentive pay back	2,145	0

Financial Benefits Totals					
Year	Capital Total (£)	Revenue Total (£)			
2019/20	2 1/15	3 800			

2020/21	2,14	15	0				
2021/22	2,14	15	0				
2022/23	2,14	15	0				
2023/24	2,14	15	0				
2024/25	2,14	15	0				
2025/26	2,14	15	0				
Non Financial	Benefits						
Title		Category		Measure	Expected Delivery Date		
renewable energy	system	Reduced Carbon					
reduction break downs & more controllable system for facility		Improved Service Provision		good customer/staff feedback			
Risks							
Title		Description					
if project is not carr	ied out	Loss of facility	use if breakdown occu	rs, increased maintenance revenue cos	ts		
if project not carried	d out	Loss of energy	/ saving & renewal ene	gv incentive pav back			
Fundamental Ti	Themes 0 - None						
Our Borough:	6 - Medium						
	Green renewable energy - environmentally friendly						
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None						
Our Environment:	10 - Very High						
	Green renewable energy - environmentally friendly						
Our Society:	0 - None						
Your Council:	0 - None						
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 10	6					
Other Category	Themes						
Asset 10 - Very Hi							
Management:	Renewal of unreliable heating/hw system with new green energy installation						
Business Case:	0 - None						

Health and Safety / Statutory	4 - Low to Medium				
Requirement:	Heating & H/water - reliable system required to operate facility				
Service Delivery:	4 - Low to Medium				
	Heating & H/water - reliable system required to operate facility				
Third Party Funding:	0 - None				
Other Themes Tota	al: 18				
Themes Total:	34				

Bid for Funding: Sports Pavilions - replace water heaters - Legionella

More effective hot water heating on sites



Project Name:		Sports Pavilions - replace water heaters - Legionella management project					
Project Code:		2017 213					
Project Description:		Renew water heaters (calorifiers) to 14 no sites as defined by Client. Legionella risk management					
Project / Programme Manager:		Jonathan Richards		Ward:			
Senior Responsible Officer:		Helen Buck		Directorate:	Environment		
Corporate Plan T	heme:	Our Enviro	onment	Confidential:	No		
Expected Start D	ate:	01/04/2018	8	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes		
Target Completion	on Date:	31/05/2018	8	Grant access to someone:			
Drivers and Objectives:	The replacement legionella com		ng water heater	s to 14 no sports pavilions is req	uired to ensure effective & manageable		
Background Information:	kill legionella 8	& will self req	gulate without the	he need to manually control.	a minimum temperature periodically to allows for the risk of Legionella to form		
	The current avenergy efficier		of the water hea	aters is 20 years - some are olde	r. The new units will be 20% more		
Project / Programme Objectives:		e 14 no water heaters to 14 sports pavilions with minimal disruption to users and negate the legionella hlighted through assessment. Provide more energy efficient low maintenance units.					
Implications:	Failure to carry out the work increases the risk to health of legionella infection affecting facility users/s				ction affecting facility users/staff.		
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	Yes						
Legislative / Statutory Implications:	Compliance w	rith legionella	a HSE guidance	e to reduce risk - ACOP L8			
Planning Permission Required:	No						
Building Regulation Required:	No						
Details of Other Required Consents:							
Constraints:	Work to be carr	ried out in lia	ison with Clien	t dept & user groups			
Assumptions:	20% energy re Reduction in sta	venue cost r aff time atter	reduction nding sites - no	manual operation required - the	rmostatically controlled units		
Changes / Effects:	Legionella compliance - reduced risk Reduction in utility electricity charges						

	sufficiently to des maintained as eff	troy any bacteria, hov	vever this is op aters are therm	en to huma ostatically o	rn on the heaters to ens an error which could allo controlled & this will ens	
Measures for Success:	Legionella compl Electricity cost re Positive feedback	duced by 20%				
Viable Option and Rejection Reasons:	_	ut works will result in a	a potential for l	nigher legio	nella infection risk.	
Costs						
Year	Description		Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)
2018/19	renewal of 14 no wa	ter heaters	140,000	N/A	N/A	
				N/A	N/A	0
2018/19 i	internal fees		14,000	N/A	N/A	
		·		N/A	N/A	0
Financial I	Benefits Description		tal Value (£)	Revenue	Value (£)	
	2000p		0		2,000	
2019/20	20% reduction in usacross 14 sites @ f		U			
2019/20	across 14 sites @ f		0			
2019/20 Financial I	across 14 sites @ f site	200 per Revenue Total				
2019/20 Financial I Year 2019/20	across 14 sites @ f site Benefits Totals	200 per Revenue Total	(£)			Expected
2019/20 Financial I Year 2019/20	across 14 sites @ f site Benefits Totals Capital Total (£)	200 per Revenue Total	(£)	Mea	sure	Expected Delivery Date
Financial I Year 2019/20 Non Finan	across 14 sites @ f site Benefits Totals Capital Total (£) ncial Benefits	Revenue Total 0 2,	(£)	Mea		
Financial I Year 2019/20 Non Finan Title energy efficie	across 14 sites @ f site Benefits Totals Capital Total (£) ncial Benefits ency peration & attending	Revenue Total 0 2, Category	(£) 000	Mea		
Financial I Year 2019/20 Non Finan Title energy efficie no manual opsites by staff	across 14 sites @ f site Benefits Totals Capital Total (£) ncial Benefits ency peration & attending	Revenue Total 0 2, Category Reduced Carbon	(£) 000	Mea		Expected Delivery Date

Our Borough:	0 - None
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None
Our Environment:	10 - Very High
	Protection of public heath
	Carbon reduction
Our Society:	0 - None
Your Council:	0 - None
Fundamental Then	nes Total: 10
Other Category	Themes
Asset Management:	10 - Very High
wanagement.	Legionella compliance
Business Case:	0 - None
Health and Safety ' Statutory	10 - Very High
Requirement:	Legionella compliance
Service Delivery:	0 - None
Third Party Funding:	0 - None
Other Themes Tota	al: 20
Themes Total:	30

Bid for Funding: Millmead Fishpass



Project Name:	Millmead Fishpass		
Project Code:	2017	229	
Project Description:	To create a new Fish Pass	s on Millmead Island to improve	water quality on the River Wey
Project / Programme Manager:	Hendryk Jurk	Ward:	Friary St. Nicolas Ward
Senior Responsible Officer:	Peter O Connell	Directorate:	Environment
Corporate Plan Theme:	Our Environment	Confidential:	No
Expected Start Date:	01/04/2019	Exempt VAT Implications:	No
Target Completion Date:	01/11/2019	Grant access to someone:	

Drivers and Objectives:

To improve water quality on the River Wey to meet the objectives of the Water Framework Directive (WFD)

Improve the Ecological Potential of the Wey
To improve the amenity value of Millmead Island
To educate the community in regard of water quality

To derive external funding and deliver a flagship project with the Environment Agency

Background Information:

For the past 4 years the Council has been working with the Environment Agency and River Wey Landscape Partnership on feasibility work to deliver a fish pass at Millmead Island to improve water quality on the River Wey. The fish pass at Millmead Island is part of a wider project to improve fish migration between the Thames and Tilford. This is intended to be flagship project in an urban area to inform the community on water quality. The EA will derive most of the funding and lead and deliver the project.

The delivery of approximately a dozen fishpasses along the Wey is part of a wider programme to improve the river catchment's ecology. The river catchment approach allows a co-ordinated improvement programme for the River Wey through the Wey Landscape Partnership by adressing various issues that affect the water quality and the riparian habitats.

The Wey Landscape Partnership exists to improve our local waters in the Wey Catchment and achieve more ambitious environmental goals under the European Water Framework Directive. Partners include Surrey Wildlife Trust, Environment Agency, Local Authorities, Thames Water, Affinity Water and Local Volunteer Groups.

The Wey Fish Pass and Wetland Delivery Project (Wey FWD) has been set up to deliver a set of priority projects, largely funded through Water Framework Directive Grant Aid. Wey FWD will deliver a multi benefit programme of works along the seven main water bodies of the Wey Corridor and links to smaller projects on the River's tributaries. Priorities are the removal of barriers to animal migration and reduction of sources of diffuse pollution.

The delivery of the Millmead Fish Pass is a key project to achieve these priorities.

Project /
Programme
Objectives:

- Deliver a new fish pass
- Meet targets of the Water Framework Directive: Removal of barriers to fish migration
- Improve amenity value of Millmead Island

passes at Millmead Island and Burpham Court Farm.

Deliver intepretation and education

The Environment Agency (EA) is the responsible authority for the implementation of the Water Framework Directive to improve water quality and condition in the river catchment areas in England. The EA has identified a set of measures along the River Wey that reduce pollution, improve the river's self-cleaning ability and enables fish populations to move along the length of the river. The EA is looking to install a number of fish passes at strategic structures under the umbrella of the Environment Agency and partners Wey Fishpass and Wetland Development project and the

Environment Agency's Wey Weirs refurbishment project. The projects include the installation of fish

The proposed fish pass at Millmead Island is to be included within the EA's Wey Weirs Refurbishment project. The weir refurbishment project has identified replacement of the weir structure at Millmead in 2019. Implications: Legal / Statutory Yes Requirement: Legislative / To meet objectives of the Water Framework Directive Statutory Implications: **Planning** Yes **Permission** Required: Building No Regulation Required: Drainage and Flood Consent **Details of Other** Required Consents: **Constraints: Planning** EA funding Flood Consent **Assumptions:** Changes / Improved water quality Improved ecological condition of the River Wey Effects: Improved amenity value of Millmead Island Measures for Targets of WFD contributed to (Part of Project to improve fish passage on the Wey to upstream to Tilford) Success: **Viable Options** and Rejection Reasons: Costs Year Description Capital Revenue **Revenue Code** Revenue Value (£) Code Name Value (£) 2019/20 60,000 N/A N/A Grant to Project - partner funding N/A N/A 0 **Costs Totals** Year Capital Total (£) Revenue Total (£) 2019/20 £60,000 £0 Non Financial Benefits **Expected Delivery Date** Title Category Measure

Risks

Title	Description				
EA resources	EA funding and staff resources are required to lead and deliver the project. This could be subject to central government changes				
Fundamental T	nemes				
Our Economy:	2 - Low				
	Improved water quality can contribute to creating a high quality environment				
Our Borough:	6 - Medium				
	Will improve water quality and the environment for residents				
Our	8 - Medium to High				
Infrastructure:	Will contribute to delivering safe clean water and the wider environment				
Our Environment:	10 - Very High				
	Will improve our open spaces and water quality Will contribute towards achieving "Good Ecological Potential" for the River Wey.				
Our Society:	0 - None				
Your Council:	0 - None				

The Environment Agency is charged with delivering Good ecological water quality through working with partners

The capital cost of the project is estimated to be £350,000, Guildford Borough Council will currently only need to

Will help to deliver on the Councils vision for Guildfords Countryside by improving riverside habitat

Will help to to deliver Corporate Plan targets for the Wey

Fundamental Themes Total:

Other Category Themes

Asset Management:

Business Case:

Health and Safety

/ Statutory

Third Party

Other Themes Total:

Themes Total:

Funding:

Requirement: Service Delivery:

0 - None

0 - None

10 - Very High

6 - Medium

10 - Very High

contribute £60,000

26

52

26

Bid for Funding: Crematorium Rebuild VAT Implications

Effects:



Project Name:		Crematorium Rebuild	Crematorium Rebuild VAT Implications					
Project Code:		2017 255						
Project Description:		This bid relates to the advice from HMRC or	This bid relates to the VAT implications arising from the crematorium redevelopment following advice from HMRC on our partial exemption and our tax advisors PWC					
Project / Program	nme Manager:	Paul Stacey	Ward:					
Senior Responsib	ole Officer:	Peter O Connell	Directorate:	Environment				
Corporate Plan Theme:		Our Infrastructure	Confidential:	No				
Expected Start Da	ate:	09/04/2018	Exempt VAT Implications:	Yes				
Target Completio	n Date:	19/08/2019	Grant access to someone:					
Drivers and Objectives:		corporate taxation impl uncils Medium Term Fin	lications from the redevelopment of of ancial Strategy	Guildford Crematorium are covered				
Background Information:	Crematorium I confirmed by I This risk has b support from F	Redevelopment creating HMRC and our tax considered and example of the monitored and example waterhouse Coop	cils VAT partial exemption will be brog an additional corporate financial in sultants are continuing to investigate amined through the crematorium proper and by Corporate Management T	nplication. This has currently been the issue ject board, by the finance team with feam.				
	from the proje	ect		ne council will have to pay resulting				
Project / Programme Objectives:	To ensure the delivery of the redevelopment of Guildford Crematorium							
Implications:	Financial - This bid covers additional tax which needs to be funded by the Council							
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	Yes							
Legislative / Statutory	Compliance w	vith financial regulation						
Implications:								
Planning Permission Required:	No							
Building Regulation Required:	No							
Details of Other Required Consents:								
Constraints:								
Assumptions:								
Changes /								

Measures for Success:							
Viable Options and Rejection Reasons:	Stop the project - not feasible as signif facilities						
	Change the project - the rebuilding of	the crematoriu	m is the mo	ost economical option for	r the council		
Costs							
Year	Description	Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)		
2018/19 VA	T repayable on capital spend	1,023,000	N/A	N/A			
			N/A	N/A	0		
2018/19 VA	T repayable on spend	0	N/A	N/A			
			N/A	N/A	153,457		
2019/20 Vat	repayable on spend	0	N/A	N/A			
			N/A	N/A	159,596		
2019/20 VA	T repayable on capital spend	669,000	N/A	N/A			
		, L	N/A	N/A	0		
Costs Totals	3						
Year	Capital Total (£) Revenue Total	l (£)					
2018/19	£1,023,000 £153,	,457					
2019/20	£669,000 £159,	,596					
Fundamental	Themes						
Our Economy:							
Our Borough:							
Our							
Infrastructure:							
Our Environmen	t:						
Our Society:							
Your Council:							

Other Category	Themes
Asset Management:	
Business Case:	
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	
Service Delivery:	
Third Party Funding:	
Other Themes Tota	0
Themes Total:	0

Bid for Funding: Old Manor House - Replacement of windows



Project Name:		Old Manor House - Replacement of windows						
Project Code:		2017		264				
Project Description:		Renewal of windows to listed asset property						
Project / Progran	nme Manager:	Jonathan Richards		Ward:	Shalford Ward			
Senior Responsible Officer: Corporate Plan Theme:		Helen Buck Our Environment		Directorate:	Community			
				Confidential:	No			
Expected Start Date:		01/08/201	8	Exempt VAT Implications:	No			
Target Completic	on Date:	01/10/201	8	Grant access to someone:				
Drivers and Objectives:				difficult to maintain windows with t ows within this grade 2 listed buildi	timber double glazed units to match the ing.			
Background Information:	The Old Manor House is a listed building, constructed circa 1867. The existing windows are a mix of original heritage type windows with a number of later replacements which do not match the building. A the windows are single glazed, a few are rotten & will be difficult to effectively repair. Proposal is to replace all of the windows in timber double glazed units, some work being required on the design to replace the existing louvred windows.							
Project / Programme Objectives:	To be carried	e carried out during summer months ss to be in liaison with residents						
Implications:	Planning perm proposal	nission with the input of the conservation officer who has already been consulted about the						
Legal / Statutory Requirement:	No							
Legislative / Statutory Implications:								
Planning Permission Required:	Yes							
Building Regulation Required:	No							
Details of Other Required Consents:								
Constraints:	Planning permis Design implicat		le 2 listed bui	lding - conservation officer input re	equired			
Assumptions:	Planning appro Design	val						
Changes /	Will reduce maintenance revenue costs to the Council							

Provide residents with energy efficient windows reducing utility bill costs

Effects:

Measures for Success:	Reduced energy costs for residents Resident feedback						
and Rejection	If the windows are not replaced they will become harder to repair & will need reactive maintenance or replacement over time. Increased revenue costs & complaints from residents with regards to heat loss & poor operation.						
Costs							
Year D	Description		Capital Value (£)	Revenue Code	Revenue Code Name	Revenue Value (£)	
2018/19 repla	cement windo	WS	175,000	N/A	N/A		
				N/A	N/A	0	
2018/19 inter	nal fees		17,500	N/A	N/A		
		J		N/A	N/A	0	
Year (2018/19	Capital Total (£) £192,5		£0				
Non Financia	l Benefits	Category		Mea	sure	Expected Delivery Date	
energy efficient wir	ndows	Reduced Carbon					
reduced maintenar	nce & repairs	Reduced Asset Cost	S				
Fundamental T	hemes 0 - None						
Our Economy:	U - None						
Our Borough:	0 - None						
Our Infrastructure:	0 - None						
Our Environment:	6 - Medium Energy efficien	t DG units					
Our Society:	0 - None						
Your Council:	0 - None						

Improvement to the aesthetic appearance of the building

Fundamental Themes Total:

Other Category	Themes
Asset Management:	10 - Very High
•	Replacement of defective windows
Business Case:	0 - None
Health and Safety / Statutory Requirement:	0 - None
Service Delivery:	0 - None
Third Party Funding:	0 - None
Other Themes Tota	al: 10
Themes Total:	16